

CRITERIA FOR THE REVIEW PROCESS FOR CHANGE IN SCOPE OF PRACTICE PROPOSALS

- Criterion one: The health, safety, and welfare of the public are inadequately addressed by the present scope of practice or limitations on the scope of practice.
- Criterion two: Enactment of the proposed change in scope of practice would benefit the health, safety, or welfare of the public.
- Criterion three: The proposed change in scope of practice does not create a significant new danger to the health, safety, or welfare of the public.
- Criterion four: The current education and training for the health profession adequately prepares practitioners to perform the new skill or service.
- Criterion five: There are appropriate post-professional programs and competence assessment measures available to assure that the practitioner is competent to perform the new skill of service in a safe manner.
- Criterion six: There are adequate measures to assess whether practitioners are competently performing the new skill or service and to take appropriate action if they are not performing competently.

CRITERIA FOR THE REVIEW PROCESS FOR NEW CREDENTIALING PROPOSALS

- Criterion one: Unregulated practice can clearly harm or endanger the health, safety, or welfare of the public.
- Criterion two: Regulation of the profession does not impose significant new economic hardship on the public, significantly diminish the supply of qualified practitioners, or otherwise create barriers to service that are not consistent with the public welfare and interest.
- Criterion three: The public needs assurance from the state of initial and continuing professional ability.
- Criterion four: The public cannot be protected by a more effective alternative.

CRITERIA FOR THE REVIEW PROCESS FOR INITIAL / RESTRICTED CREDENTIALING PROPOSALS

Criterion one: Absence of a separate regulated profession creates a situation of harm or danger to the health, safety, or welfare of the public.

Criterion two: Creation of a separate regulated profession would not create a significant new danger to the health, safety, or welfare of the public.

Criterion three: Creation of a separate regulated profession would benefit the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

Criterion four: The public cannot be protected by a more effective alternative.