

ATTACHMENT A

*Signed letter on
Gov. letterhead
pending 7-22-10*

September 1, 2010

Yolanda J. Butler, PhD, OCS Acting Director
US Department of Health and Human Services
Administration for Children and Families
Office of Community Services
Division of State Assistance
Attention: Community Services Block Grant Program
370 L'Enfant Promenade S.W., 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20447

Dear Director Butler:

As Chief Executive Officer of the State of Nebraska, I have exercised my authority to designate the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services to act as lead agency for administration of the Community Services Block Grant program.

Any questions or comments should be directed to Jennifer Dreibelbis, CSBG Program Specialist at 402-471-9346.

Sincerely,

Dave Heineman
Governor

ATTACHMENT B

ATTACHMENT C

**Todd Reckling, Administrator, Policy Section
Division of Public Health of Children and Family Services
Department of Health and Human Services**

**TESTIMONY
Community Services Block Grant Program
Health and Human Services Committee
October 24, 2008**

Good morning, Senator Johnson and members of the Health and Human Services Committee. My name is Todd Reckling, R-E-C-K-L-I-N-G, Administrator, Policy Section of the Division of Children and Family Services within the Department of Health and Human Services.

I am reporting to you today about Nebraska's State Plan for Community Service Block Grant funds. You each have a copy of the State Plan and a handout providing you examples of programs provided through these funds. This periodic public reporting is one requirement of the federal statute allocating these funds. Community action programs were created in 1964 as a part of President Lyndon Johnson's "War on Poverty." Community Services Block Grant, or CSBG, funds, are federal funds distributed to the states and earmarked by federal law for distribution to the community action agencies. Funding to the states is determined by a formula which includes: (1) the number of public assistance participants in the state; (2) the number of unemployed in the state; and (3) the number of children in the state who live in households with income below the poverty level.

Today a network of over one-thousand community action agencies exists across the United States. Nebraska's nine community action agencies serve all 93 counties in Nebraska. The board of each community action agency is composed of 1/3 low-income representatives, 1/3 elected officials or their representative, and 1/3 private sector representatives who live within the community action service area. The local board of each community action agency is responsible for planning, management and operation of the agency; and the state is responsible for monitoring and oversight of the agencies to assure compliance with federal and state laws and regulations.

Federal authorization for CSBG ended September 30, 2006. Although the President's budget proposal has eliminated funding to CSBG each year, the Congressional budget has included it. The fiscal year 2008 allocation of CSBG funds to Nebraska was \$4,532,487. It is anticipated that the program will be in a continuing resolution through March 2009. It is unclear when the program will be reauthorized.

Federal law requires that at least 90% of CSBG funds be distributed to Nebraska's nine community action agencies. The CSBG funds are divided among the nine agencies in Nebraska by a state formula calculated using a base formula and the poverty population in each community action service area. The state CSBG formula and a service area map are located on pages nine

and ten of the state plan. The state may use up to 5% of the funds for state administration of the grant and the remaining funds are available for the state to use to provide technical support to community action agencies, support new statewide innovative anti-poverty initiatives, emergency needs, or to support unforeseen future needs of the State's Community Action Agencies. Page thirteen of the state plan has a listing of the Community Action Agencies, the counties they serve, and the CSBG allocation received by each.

The Community Services Block Grant establishes a funding base for community action agencies enabling them to leverage other funding sources. Last year each CSBG dollar they received enabled Nebraska's nine community action agencies to leverage an average of \$17 in other federal, state and local dollars.

Thank you for allowing me to present Nebraska's Community Services Block Grant state plan to you today and to provide you a small sampling of what community action does in our state. I invite your review of the plan and, if you are not acquainted with the community action agency in your district, I invite you to visit those agencies.

I will be happy to answer any questions.

EXAMPLES OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT ACTIVITIES

Programs at each community action agency are determined by conducting community needs assessments. The average number of programs at each agency is 27, many of which promote self-sufficiency, and may include: Head Start, Early Head Start, and adult education programs; job training and direct employment of low-income individuals; AmeriCorps; case management services; housing services; child care; homeless shelter and other homeless assistance; migrant services; emergency assistance which includes rent, utility deposits and arrearages, food and transportation; alcohol and drug counseling; mental health services; food pantries, food banks and the commodity foods program; public health programs such as W.I.C., Maternal/Child Health, and immunizations; senior centers and other senior programs such as home-delivered meals, transportation and telephone reassurance; youth mentoring projects; and the low-income weatherization program

In 2007, Community Action Agencies in Nebraska provided services to more than 90,500 individuals, from almost 45,000 families, facing a variety of barriers to self-sufficiency. More than 32,000 of these were children age eleven or younger and more than 8,100 were over the age of 70. More than 22,000 families served live at or below the national poverty income guidelines. More than 19,000 individuals were from an increasingly diverse number of cultures and ethnicities. More than 20,000 were without health insurance.

In 2007, Community Action Agencies helped more than 880 people find employment. Through construction, weatherization, or rehabilitation, 1,305 homes across the state were preserved or improved, creating safer and more energy efficient households for Nebraska families. Community Action Agencies also provided tax assistance to 2,646 Nebraskans, bringing more than \$1,800,000 of tax refunds to Nebraska communities. As the number of near homeless in urban and rural areas continues to grow, Community Action Agencies continue to provide emergency assistance for utilities and rent. They also provide much needed affordable housing units in their local service areas.

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Health and Human Services Committee
October 24, 2008

SENATOR JOHNSON: And I took care of General Grunther's brother once at the Omaha VA. (Laugh) Jeff. []

JEFF SANTEMA: Good morning. My name is Jeff Santema, committee counsel. []

SENATOR GAY: Tim Gay, District 14, Papillion-La Vista. []

SENATOR PANKONIN: Good morning. I'm Dave Pankonin, District 2, which is mainly Cass County, so part of Sarpy and Nebraska City area. []

SENATOR JOHNSON: And one other thing about Platte Center is that they had someone who played for Buffalo in the National Football League for, what, 18 years. []

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Oh, you mean Torczon. []

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yeah, LaVerne Torczon and was quite awhile ago, but he was a darn good die (inaudible). At any rate, so with that you're the first batter. []

TODD RECKLING: (Exhibits 1 and 2) Good morning, Senator Johnson and members of the Health and Human Services Committee. My name is Todd Reckling, R-e-c-k-l-i-n-g. And I'm the policy section administrator for the Division of Children and Family Services within the Department of Health and Human Services. I'm here today to report to you about Nebraska's state plan for Community Services Block Grant funds. You each have a copy of the state plan and a handout providing you examples of programs provided through these funds. You'll see on your handout that we served over 90,000 people last year and some of the types of activities and programs funded as well as numbers served. This periodic public reporting is just one requirement of the federal statute allocating these funds. Community action programs were created back in 1964, as part of President Lyndon Johnson's "War on Poverty." Community Services Block Grant, or

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Health and Human Services Committee
October 24, 2008

CSBG funds, are federal funds distributed to the states and earmarked by federal law for distribution to the community action agencies. Funding to the states is determined by a formula which includes: (1) the number of public assistance participants in the state; (2) the number of unemployed in the state; and (3) the number of children in the state who live in households with income below the poverty level. Today, there is a network of over 1,000 community action agencies that exist across the U.S. Nebraska's nine community action agencies serve all 93 counties in Nebraska. The board for each community action agency is composed of one-third low-income representatives, one-third elected officials or their representative and one-third private sector representatives who live within that community action service area. The local board of each community action agency is responsible for the planning, management and operation of that agency. And the state is then responsible for monitoring and oversight of the agencies to assure compliance with federal and state laws and regulations. Federal authorization for CSBG actually ended on September 30, 2006. Although the President's budget proposal has eliminated funding to CSBG each year the Congressional budget has included it. This fiscal year for 2008 allocation for CSBG funds to Nebraska was just a little over \$4.5 million. It's anticipated that the program will be in a continuing resolution through March of '09. It is unclear when the program will be reauthorized. Federal law then requires that at least 90 percent of the CSBG funds be distributed to Nebraska's nine community action agencies. The funds are then divided among the nine agencies in Nebraska by a state formula that's calculated using a base formula and the poverty population in each of the community action service areas. The state CSBG formula and a service area map are located on pages 9 and 10 of the larger state plan that you also received. The state may then use up to 5 percent. I just want you to know offhand that Nebraska does not even use 3 percent for administrative funds of the grant, and the remaining funds are then distributed back for program and services and provider technical support to community action agencies. They also are used to support new statewide innovative antipoverty initiatives, emergency needs or to support unforeseen future needs of the state's community action agencies. Page 13 of the state plan that you also have has a listing of the community action agencies, the

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Health and Human Services Committee
October 24, 2008

counties that they serve and the actual allocation that's received by each. The Community Services Block Grant establishes a funding base for the community action agencies enabling them to leverage other funding sources. Last year the CSBG dollars that were received enabled Nebraska's nine community action agencies to actually leverage an average of \$17 in other federal, state and local dollars. Thank you for allowing me the time to present on the Nebraska's Community Services Block Grant state plan to you today and to provide you a small sampling of what those agencies are doing for our state. I invite you to review the plan, and if you're not acquainted with the local agency in your area to become familiar with that. Appreciate the opportunity of talking to you today. And would be happy to answer any questions that you might have. []

SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Todd. Let me first introduce Senator Howard who has joined us as well. Now, any questions of the committee of Todd regarding this? Must have done a great job, Todd. Thank you. []

TODD RECKLING: Thank you, appreciate your time today. []

SENATOR JOHNSON: You bet, thank you. Well, we'll actually get started a couple of minutes early if we've got everybody here that we need. And I hope that you don't get to sip on that and finish it before (laugh) you leave. At any rate, what we want to get into first here is the Division of Behavioral Health. []

SCOT ADAMS: Good morning, Senator Johnson and members of the committee. Thank you very much for this opportunity to have conversation with you today about the Division of Behavioral Health as part of the Department of Health and Human Services conversation with you about its work, priorities, progress and challenges. I'm really excited to be here because I think there are good things going on in behavioral health in the state of Nebraska. We have, of course, another hearing on a particular aspect of behavioral health--the implementation of LB1083 through the LR338 process later this

ATTACHMENT D



Northwest Community Action Partnership
 270 Pine St.
 Chadron, NE 69337
 Phone: 308-432-3393
 Website: www.ncap.info

Central Nebraska Community Services
 626 N St.
 Loup City, NE 68853
 308-745-0780
 www.welcome2cncs.com

Goldenrod Hills Community Action
 1119 Ave. E
 Wisner, NE 68791
 402-529-3513
 www.goldenrodhillscommunityaction.org

Northwest Community Action Partnership
 270 Pine St.
 Chadron, NE 69337
 Phone: 308-432-3393
 Website: www.ncap.info

Central Nebraska Community Services
 626 N St.
 Loup City, NE 68853
 308-745-0780
 www.welcome2cncs.com

Goldenrod Hills Community Action
 1119 Ave. E
 Wisner, NE 68791
 402-529-3513
 www.goldenrodhillscommunityaction.org

Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership
 2406 Fowler Ave.
 Omaha, NE 68111
 402-463-5656
 www.encapomaha.org

Blue Valley Community Action Partnership
 620 5th St.
 Fairbury, NE 68352
 402-729-2278
 www.bvca.net

Goldenrod Hills Community Action
 1119 Ave. E
 Wisner, NE 68791
 402-529-3513
 www.goldenrodhillscommunityaction.org

Community Action Partnership of Western Nebraska
 3350 10th St.
 Gering, NE 69341
 308-635-3089
 www.capwm.org

Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska
 16 W 11th St.
 Kearney, NE 68847
 308-865-5675
 www.mnca.net

Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership
 2406 Fowler Ave.
 Omaha, NE 68111
 402-463-5656
 www.encapomaha.org

Lincoln Action Program
 210 O St.
 Lincoln, NE 68508
 402-471-4515
 www.lincoln-action.org
Community Action Partnership of Callender & Saunders Counties


Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska
 16 W 11th St.
 Kearney, NE 68847
 308-865-5675
 www.mnca.net

Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership
 2406 Fowler Ave.
 Omaha, NE 68111
 402-463-5656
 www.encapomaha.org


Southeast Nebraska Community Action
 802 4th St.
 Humboldt, NE 68376
 402-862-2411
 www.senca.org

Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska
 16 W 11th St.
 Kearney, NE 68847
 308-865-5675
 www.mnca.net

Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership
 2406 Fowler Ave.
 Omaha, NE 68111
 402-463-5656
 www.encapomaha.org



Legend
 ★ Administrative Offices
 ● Outreach Offices



**Community Action Agencies in Nebraska
 Administrative Offices and Outreach Offices**

ATTACHMENT E

February 18, 2010

Dear Participant,

As a resident of Nebraska, you have been randomly selected to receive the attached community assessment. The survey is sponsored by the community action agencies in Nebraska (listed below).

Your answers to these questions are very important. The results of the survey may be shared with other organizations interested in helping to meet the various needs of your community. However, your answers will remain strictly confidential. All information gained from your responses will be reported with others; no individual data will be released. This survey will not contain any personal information, so any answers you give will remain confidential.

Instructions for completing the survey are below. Try to answer the questions as if they apply to you unless you are specifically instructed to include the members of your household.

Thank you for taking the time to help us assess the needs of communities across Nebraska. If you have any questions regarding this survey, you may contact me at 402.471.3714.

Sincerely,

Erin Kampbell

Erin Kampbell
Statewide Projects Coordinator
Community Action of Nebraska

Sponsored by:

Blue Valley Community Action
Central Nebraska Community Services
Community Action Partnership of Lancaster and Saunders Counties
Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska
Community Action Partnership of Western Nebraska
Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership
Goldenrod Hills Community Action
Northwest Community Action Partnership
Southeast Nebraska Community Action

DRAFT

INSTRUCTIONS: Please mark your answer by filling in the circle next to your choice. Unless otherwise directed, select only one answer. If any question makes you uncomfortable you may skip that question. We do ask that you complete as many questions as possible to give us a picture of Nebraska communities.

YOUR ANSWERS REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL: Information gained from your responses will be compiled with answers of others. The assessment does not contain any individual's identity so all answers remain confidential.

1.) When you need routine health care, where do you generally go? (Select only one.)

- (A) Regular family doctor
- (B) Walk-in clinic (Urgent care)
- (C) Emergency room
- (D) Other (please explain) _____
- (E) I do not seek health care, even when needed.

2.) How often do you delay getting health care because of the cost?

- (A) Always
- (B) Sometimes
- (C) Never

3.) How often do you delay seeing the dentist because of the cost?

- (A) Always
- (B) Sometimes
- (C) Never

4.) Do you have access to reliable transportation?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

5.) Are you raising children in your household?

- (A) Yes (go to question 6)
- (B) No (skip to question 7)

6.) If you have children in your household, which of the following are issues for you? (Select all that apply.)

- (A) I have no need for childcare
- (B) Cost of childcare
- (C) Lack of school age childcare
- (D) Lack of infant care
- (E) Lack of sick childcare
- (H) Lack of childcare for special needs children
- (I) Lack of night or weekend childcare
- (J) Lack of childcare openings
- (K) Childcare is too far away/lack transportation to and from childcare
- (L) Other (please explain) _____

7.) What needs to be repaired at your residence? (Select all that apply):

- (A) No repairs are needed
- (B) Structure (roof, walls, foundation, etc.)
- (C) Heating and/or cooling system
- (D) Plumbing and/or electrical
- (E) Appliances
- (F) Other _____

8.) Do you own your own home?

- (A) Yes (skip to question 10)
- (B) No (go to question 9)

9.) There are many reasons why people don't own their own homes. Which of the following are reasons why you may not own your own home? (Select all that apply)

- (A) I do not wish to own my own home at this time
- (B) I am currently in the process of purchasing a home
- (C) Unable to make down payment
- (D) Lack of home buying assistance for first-time homebuyers
- (E) Poor credit rating
- (F) Unable to get a loan
- (G) Lack of available housing
- (H) Lack of quality housing

10.) What is your monthly rent or mortgage payment?

- (A) I do not have a monthly rent or mortgage payment
- (B) Less than \$200
- (C) \$200-\$399
- (D) \$400-\$599
- (E) \$600-\$799
- (F) \$800-\$999
- (G) \$1,000 or more

11.) About how much do you pay each month for utilities? (heating/cooling, water, electricity, sewer, trash)

- (A) I do not have to pay utilities
- (B) Less than \$99
- (C) \$100-\$199
- (D) \$200-\$299
- (E) \$300-\$399
- (F) \$400-\$499
- (G) \$500 or more

12.) Is anyone in your household unemployed?

- (A) Yes (go to question 13)
- (B) No (skip to question 14)

13.) If anyone in your household is unemployed, what is preventing him/her from finding employment? (Select all that apply)

- (A) Not looking for employment
- (B) No members of household are unemployed
- (C) Lack of childcare
- (D) Lack of available jobs
- (E) Lack of specific job skills
- (F) Lack of required education
- (G) Health problems/disability
- (H) Inadequate transportation

14.) Please select all sources of income in your household from the last year. (Select all that apply.)

- (A) Employment
- (B) Self-employed
- (C) Retirement
- (D) Social Security
- (E) TANF/Public Assistance
- (F) SSI (Supplemental Security Income)
- (G) Unemployment
- (H) Child support
- (J) Other _____

15.) Which statement best describes your current financial status?

- (A) Better off than one year ago
- (B) About the same
- (C) Worse off than one year ago

16.) Which of the following have you done in the last 12 months? (Select all that apply.)

- (A) Put money in savings
- (B) Followed a budget
- (C) Invested or put money in retirement
- (D) Made only the minimum payments on credit cards
- (E) Been threatened with repossession of your car, loss of your credit cards, or with legal action
- (F) Early withdrawal from retirement fund
- (G) Withdrawal from savings
- (H) Used payday loan service
- (I) Paid utility bills late
- (J) Paid mortgage or rent late
- (K) Depended more on credit cards due to lack of cash flow
- (L) None of the above

17.) Approximately how much credit card debt do you carry?

- (A) No credit card debt
- (B) Less than \$1,000
- (C) \$1,000-\$4,999
- (D) \$5,000-\$9,999
- (E) \$10,000-\$19,999
- (F) \$20,000 or more

18.) How many people in your household contribute to the household's yearly income?

- (A) 1 person
- (B) 2 people
- (C) 3 or more people

18.) About how much income did your household make last year (before taxes)?

- (A) 15,999 or less
- (B) 16,000-24,999
- (C) 25,000-34,999
- (D) 35,000-44,999
- (E) 45,000-59,999
- (F) 60,000-79,999
- (G) Over 80,000
- (H) Do not know

19.) Please choose what you believe to be the top three (3) causes of poverty.

- (A) Lack of training/education
- (B) Drug abuse/addiction
- (C) Single parent household
- (D) Not enough jobs
- (E) Disability
- (F) Low motivation
- (G) Lack of affordable and/or quality housing
- (H) Not enough government help
- (I) Geographic location
- (H) Other (specify) _____

20.) In what Nebraska county do you live? _____

21.) What is your ZIP code? _____

DRAFT

22.) Please indicate how serious of a problem each of the following is for your household:

	Very serious problem	Somewhat serious problem	Minor problem	Not a problem	Does not apply to my household
Basic Needs					
Affordable clothing	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Affordable food choices	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Affordable place to live	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Safe place to live	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Paying for utilities (gas, electricity, water, etc.)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Affordable medical care for the whole family	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Affordable dental care for the whole family	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Affordable eye care for the whole family	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	---
Health Services					
Help for drug or alcohol abuse	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Support and education for breastfeeding mothers	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Mental health care	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Disability services for adults	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Disability services for children	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Employment and Education					
Access to education support services for children	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to employment services (job seeking, résumé writing)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to resources for learning or reading English	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to parenting classes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to preschool programs	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to a Head Start program in your community	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Community Services and Resources					
Affordable child care	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Affordable legal services	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Access to safe activities for teens:					
After school	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
On the weekends	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
In the summer	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Opportunities for parents to meet other parents	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Neighborhood/community safety	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Independent living:					
Shopping	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Meals	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Transportation	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Home maintenance and repair	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)

23.) How many people live in your household?

- (A) I live alone
- (B) 2 people
- (C) 3 people
- (D) 4 people
- (E) 5 people
- (F) 6 people
- (G) 7 or more people

24.) Which of the following *best* describes your household? (Select only one)

- (A) Single adult, no children
- (B) Single parent (over 18)
- (C) Two or more adults and one or more children
- (D) Two or more adults, no children
- (E) Teen parent (under 18)
- (F) Single grandparent with grandchild(ren)
- (G) Two grandparents with grandchild(ren)

25.) Please mark the age groups in which the child(ren) living in your household fit. (Select all that apply)

- (A) No children living in household
- (B) 0-5 years
- (C) 6-11 years
- (D) 12-17 years

26.) What is your gender?

- (A) Male
- (B) Female

27.) What is your age?

- (A) 15-24
- (B) 25-44
- (C) 45-64
- (D) 65-74
- (E) 75-84
- (F) 85 and older

28.) Are you Hispanic or Latino?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

29.) What is your race? (Select all that apply)

- (A) Caucasian/white
- (B) Asian
- (C) Native American/Alaska Native
- (D) African American/black
- (E) Pacific Islander
- (F) Other (specify) _____

30.) What is your highest level of education?

- (A) 8th grade or less
- (B) 9th, 10th, or 11th grade
- (C) High school diploma or GED
- (D) Some college but no degree
- (E) Technical/vocational school or Associate degree
- (F) Bachelor's degree
- (G) Master's degree
- (H) Doctorate or professional degree (Doctor, lawyer, etc.)

ATTACHMENT F

Part I: Section A. State Use of CSBG Funds

1. State Reporting Period (month/day/year)

State Reporting:

From: to:

2. Total CSBG funds expended in FY 2009 for:

	Planned	Actual	ARRA Planned	ARRA Actual
a. Eligible Entities	\$4,365,222	\$4,262,349	\$1,724,964	\$1,305,785
b. State Administrative Costs *	\$242,512	\$105,680	\$0	\$0
* ARRA ONLY: Report Planned and Actual Funds spent on Benefits Enrollment Coordination Activities				
c. Discretionary Projects	\$236,513	\$236,513		
d. Total Funds	\$4,844,247	\$4,604,542	\$1,724,964	\$1,305,785
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Of the total in 2d., how much represents carryover funding from the previous fiscal year?

3.

4. Carry-forward of FY 2009 funds to FY 2010 programs

4.

5. State CSBG funds if any (see instructions)

5.

6. TOTAL Federal and State CSBG funds in FY 2009 (add item 2d Actual + item 5)

6.

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

State Reporting:

1. Eligible entities receiving FY 2009 funds:

(Please attach the provided Excel Spreadsheet for eligible entities, their addresses, and their award amounts.)

a. Number of Community Action Agencies (CAAs) among eligible entities	<input type="text" value="5"/>
b. Number of Limited Purpose Agencies (LPAs) among eligible entities	<input type="text" value="5"/>
c. Number of organizations serving migrant or seasonal farmworkers	<input type="text" value="4"/>
d. number of these also counted in a or b	<input type="text" value="4"/>
e. Number of tribal organizations	<input type="text" value="0"/>
f. number of these also counted in a, b or c	<input type="text" value="0"/>
g. Number of units of local government	<input type="text" value="0"/>
h. number of these also counted in a, b, c or e	<input type="text" value="0"/>
i. Others designated eligible by statute	<input type="text" value="9"/>
j. number of these also counted in a, b ,c, e or g	<input type="text" value="9"/>
k Total unduplicated number of eligible entities	<input type="text" value="9"/>

2. Were previously funded eligible entities dropped in FY 2009?

Yes No

Number:

(If yes, indicate number and reason.)

Reason:

3.State allocation method:

(Please select the method that best describes the current practice for allocations within the state.)

Historic Hold Harmless + Formula

Base + Formula Other (please specify):

Formula Alone

Formula with variables

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

4. Coverage of counties

a. Percent of state's counties receiving CSBG services at year end from local CSBG operators #

b. Number of counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2009 (if any)

c. Please list counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2009:

NA

5. Uses of Discretionary Project Funds (if listed in Section A, Item 2.c)

a. What types of organizations received the awards?

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Indian Tribes or tribal organizations | 1. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |
| 2. Migrant or farmworker organizations | 2. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |
| 3. State subgrantee associations | 3. <input type="text" value="\$139,188"/> |
| 4. Eligible entities | 4. <input type="text" value="\$4,825"/> |
| 5. Other (please specify below): | |

5.

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

a.

b. For what purposes were the awards given?

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Awards to local agencies for expansion to new areas | 1. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |
| 2. Grants for exemplary or demonstration programs | 2. <input type="text" value="\$4,825"/> |
| 3. Competitive grants for exemplary or demonstration programs | 3. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |
| 4. Training or technical assistance for local agencies | 4. <input type="text" value="\$139,188"/> |
| 5. Statewide Programs | 5. <input type="text" value="\$82,500"/> |
| 6. General support | 6. <input type="text" value="\$10,000"/> |
| 7. Other (please specify below): | 7. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

b.

The totals of a and b should match both each other and Item 2.c in Section A.

Section C: General Information on State CSBG Office

State Reporting:

Nebraska

This list box has only states selected in Section A.

1. Please identify the cabinet or administrative department of your state CSBG office.

<input type="radio"/> Community Services Department	<input type="radio"/> Governor's Office
<input type="radio"/> Human Services Department	<input type="radio"/> Community Affairs Department
<input type="radio"/> Social Services Department	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Other (please specify):
<input type="text" value="Department of Health and Human Services"/>	

2. What is the division, bureau, or office of the CSBG Administrator?

3. Other programs directed by the CSBG Administrator in FY 2009:

a. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct Community Food and Nutrition Programs?	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
b. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct DOE Weatherization?	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
c. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct part or all of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and/or crisis assistance programs?	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
1) If yes, does the CSBG Administrator also direct the LIHEAP energy conservation program?	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
d. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct USDA programs? If yes, please list titles.	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	
e. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct HUD programs? If yes, please list below:	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
<input type="text" value="Emgerency Shelter Grant
HPRP (ARRA Funding)"/>	
f. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct any other federal programs for the homeless?	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
g. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct state Head Start programs?	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
h. How many other programs are also directed by the CSBG Administrator? (List titles of other programs below):	<input type="text" value="6"/>
<input type="text" value="Childcare Subsidy Program, Childcare Grants, Refugee and Resettlement Program, Nebraska Homeless Assistance Program, Child Welfare Programs, Domestic Violence Program."/>	

4. Was the state CSBG office subject to a reorganization in FY 2009, such as an expansion or contraction of programs, or a transfer of the CSBG office to a different division or department?

Yes No

If yes, please describe change (attach extra page if necessary):

5. State statute regarding CSBG:

a. Does your state have a statute authorizing Community Services programs? (If yes, please attach.)

Yes No

b. Did your state legislature enact authorizing legislation, or amendments to an existing authorizing statute during FY 2009?

Yes No

Please check those items which describe provisions of the current statute

1) What is the termination date of the current statute?

(mm/dd/yy)

2) Does it "grandfather" CAAs?

Yes No

3) Does it specify the terms, or formula, for allotting 90% pass-through funds among eligible entities?

Yes No

4) Does it require local grantees to match CSBG funds?

Yes No

5) Does it provide for the designation of new eligible entities?

Yes No

6) Does it provide for the de-designation of eligible entities?

Yes No

7) Does it specify a process the state CSBG agency must follow to re-designate an existing eligible entity?

Yes No

8) Does it designate the bureau, division, or office in state government that is to be the state administering agency?

Yes No

9) If it has other provisions please list them:

6. a. Did it cost more in FY 2009 than the federally allowed limit in your state's CSBG allocation for your state to effectively administer the range of services and activities required by the CSBG Act?

Yes No

b. If yes, what was the amount of these extra costs?

c. If yes, were state funds used to supplement federal administrative expenditures?

Yes No

d. If yes, what was the amount of the supplemental state funds?

7. a. How many state positions were funded in whole or in part by CSBG funds?

1

b. How many Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) were funded with CSBG funds?

1

Section D: Accomplishments and Coordination of Funds Questions 1-3

Please do NOT use acronyms.

State Reporting: Nebraska

See instructions for further details.

1. Strategic Thinking for Long-Term Solutions

a. Please describe an agency strategy which addresses a long-term solution to a persistent problem affecting members of the low-income community. Address the following questions:

Agency Name: Blue Valley Community Action

i. How did the agency identify the community need?

The project was developed after State & local partners identified a need through needs assessment conducted in the communities. The results identified barriers related to healthy eating habits, lack of exercise & lack of health education for the specific populations

ii. How were CSBG funds used to plan, manage, and/or develop the approach?

CSBG dollars are used to support the health services program director with planning time, travel, training, supervision of staff & grant management.

iii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Partners in the project are the State Office of Women's & Men's Health who are acting as the fiscal & reporting agent; Blue Valley Community Action Partnership implementing the project in Saline County; Nebraska Hispanic Project through the Omaha Medical Center for the implementation of the African American project; & the University of Nebraska Extension for data collection & evaluation of the project.

iv. What outcome indicators did the agency use to measure success?

The project used demographic survey, food records, behavior assessments, physical activity record & lab values to measure success.

v. What outcomes have resulted in FY 2009? If no outcomes yet, when?

In 2008-2009, ninety-two women completed the BVCA project. The entire group of women spoke Spanish & all lived in Saline County, a rural community of less than 10,000. The mean age of the participants was 35.8 years of age & most did not have access to health insurance. The women on an average lost 7 pounds & decreased on an average of 2 inches from their waist circumference. There was significant decrease in systolic blood pressure & dietary data showed improvement. The women significantly improved their nutrition behaviors & increased their average minutes of physical activity to 35 minutes per day.

2. Delivering High-Quality, Accessible, and Well-Managed Services

a. Please describe what you consider to be the top management accomplishment achieved by your State CSBG office during FY 2009. Show how responsible, informed leadership led to effective and efficient management of the CSBG program.

Top State Management Accomplishment:

The State of Nebraska CSBG office worked with the Statewide Individual Development Account stakeholders group to apply for an Assets for Independence grant to allow agencies to expand their IDA efforts. This allowed coordination between stakeholders, community action

agencies, and others in the community to achieve a common goal of helping clients become more self sufficient.

The CSBG Program Specialist joined the committee in 2009 and helped provide background information on this issue as well as helped the committee form the discussion that would be receptive to state leaders. DHHS administrators signed off on the AFI grant application after the CSBG Program Specialist met with them to explain how the program can alleviate the reliance on state benefits over time. She also reiterated commitment from other DHHS state offices that had helped the Statewide IDA taskforce to where it was to be able to apply for these funds.

CSBG Administration dollars support a contract with an outside grantwriter which provided much needed technical assistance and expertise to the task force so they could put together a complete AFI grant.

The State of Nebraska CSBG office has a number of other initiatives under way that will be completed in 2010 including support of a community assessment survey for all 9 community action agencies that will track needs and barriers to service for 5 years. This \$100,000 commitment will help agencies and the state have complete and comparable data.

b. Please describe what you consider to be the top three management accomplishments achieved by your agencies during FY 2009. Show how responsible, informed leadership and effective, efficient processes led to high-quality, accessible, and well-managed services.

Top Three Agency Management Accomplishments:

1. Agency Name:

Accomplishment:

Agency managers worked with the Board of Directors to create and adopt a 2010-2012 strategic plan including mission, vision, values, corporate goals and strategies. Creation of action plans to achieve and measure strategies are underway. The entire plan is accessible to staff and board members to track progress and to allow for suggestions of change in direction as necessary. The Plan includes rebranding to the national brand, increasing the agency's level of professionalism, increasing the use of volunteers, increasing fund development efforts, effectively partnering with other community entities and development of an office procedures manual. These are a few of the 100 strategies included in the plan. This process has brought staff and policy makers together with a unified vision for the organization, helping to clarify our role in the community and the use of our resources.

2. Agency Name:

Accomplishment:

Agency Intake Process – In April 2009 Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska adopted an agency intake. All demographic data that is required for the Community Service Block Grant reporting is included on the intake. This was a collaborative effort between all agency programs; therefore, there are several programs that have expanded the intake to meet their program needs eliminating the need for double intakes. An individual at any point of entry will complete an intake which will be entered into the agency database allowing for all services to be entered. The ultimate goal is to be able to report unduplicated numbers and outcomes for the agency. The analysis of the data will assist in future strategic planning as the effectiveness of programs.

3. Agency Name:

Accomplishment:

A. After discovering the use of inconsistent data the top management initiated a plan to unify the effort to combine resources to develop a Survey. This accomplishment by our State CSBG office for FY2009 is based on a collaborative agreement between all (9) agencies to support and utilize a Survey developed to enhance the ability to communicate consistent data throughout the state. The Survey can and will be utilized in each community context as a Community Needs Assessment. The team has formally partnered with Wayne State University for the creation of a process to maximize returns of surveys and time schedules for implementation. This is perhaps one of the most important initiatives for our state CSBG office.

3. Mobilizing Resources to Support Innovative Solutions

a. Please describe how your agency addressed a cause or condition of poverty in the community using an innovative or creative approach. Showcase how your agency relied on mobilization and coordination of resources to help reach interim and final outcomes. Demonstrate how CSBG "works" as it funds staff activities, investments, or services to meet a community need. Include the following elements:

i. Agency Name:

ii. Program Name:

iii. CSBG Service Category:

iv. Description of program (capacity, duration, targeted population, etc):

The poverty simulation experience is designed to help participants begin to understand what it might be like to live in a typical low-income family trying to survive from month to month. Is a simulation, not a game. The object is to sensitize participants to the realities faced by low-income people. In the simulation 44 to 80 participants assume the roles of up to 26 different families facing poverty. Some families are newly unemployed, some are recently deserted by the "breadwinner", some are homeless; some are senior citizens receiving disability or retirement or grandparents raising their grandchildren. The task of the "families" is to provide for basic necessities and shelter during the course of four 15-minute "weeks." The simulation is conducted in a large room with the "families" seated in groups in the center. Around the perimeter are tables representing community resources and services for families. These services include a bank, super center, community action agency, employer, utility company, pawn broker, grocery store, social service agency, faith based agency, payday and title loan facility, mortgage company, school, and child care center. Volunteers, preferably persons who have faced or are facing poverty, are recruited to staff the resource tables. Volunteers are also recruited to assume the roles of police officer and an "illegal activities" person. The experience last from two and a half to three hours. It includes an introduction and briefing, the actual simulation exercise and a debriefing period in which participants and volunteer staffers share their feelings and experiences and talk about what they have learned about the lives of people in poverty.

v. How was the agency's approach innovative or creative? Please be specific:

This was the 2nd Poverty Simulation that was offered by SENCA with six months. The plan was to offer the simulation on a college campus and involve students in the simulation that are majoring in social service related occupations. This would help them understand both sides of what happens when facing poverty either personally or trying to assist others in poverty situations and what solutions can be offered or established. Along with the students and several instructors from the college, a variety of city government employees, business leaders, area school administration, and Community Action staff members participated in the simulation.

vi. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected):

Approximately 75 individuals participated in the event. Outcomes were very effective as many of the participants left with the feeling of being "overwhelmed" and one pastor commented that "Even though it was hypothetical, the simulation mimicked the craziness of peoples' lives when things fall apart for them." Booklets were given to each participant which contained the 2008 Community Service Block Grant IS Report findings and the 2008 Poverty Tour Needs Assessment Survey findings which included information on Housing, Health Care, Household items and Budgeting. At the debriefing all participants are encouraged to brainstorm and come up with innovative ways and ideas to eliminate or reduce poverty in their communities.

vii. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific:

The salaries of most of the SENCA employees that participated were paid with CSBG funds.

viii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?:

Community Action of Nebraska, Inc.- they participated in the presentation by providing staffers and also with Executive Director Mary Beth Rathe providing the opening comments, 2008 Poverty Listening Tour Report, Needs Assessment Report, and assisting the facilitator with the ending discussion and closing comments.

University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension--Facilitator, Marilyn Fox is an Extension Educator and she and one of her staff members provided all the materials for the event.

Peru State College-Under the direction of Peru Professor Carrie Gottschalk and Peru State College students, the college hosted the event and provided students and staff members to participate as families and staffers.

Participating individuals from (financial institutions, schools, city and government officials, educators, business owners, concerned individuals, and students.) In order to have an effective simulation it is recommended to have at least 60 individuals. This was a great group that was diversified and each person walked away with useful and vital information.

Please do NOT use acronyms. See instructions for further details.

State Reporting: Nebraska

4. Providing Positive Results for Vulnerable Populations

a. Please describe one youth-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Community Action Partnership of Western Nebraska

i. Description of initiative

Money Smart financial education targeting youth in the Transitional Living and Supportive Housing Programs. These are youth that have been homeless and are establishing long term self-sufficiency goals.

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Local partners included 4 representatives from US Bank, one from Valley Bank, and one from First State Bank who helped present components of the Money Smart curriculum. They provided information and real world experiences. FDIC provided the curriculum materials for participants.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

The class was held in eight (8) weeks, 2 1/2 hour session each week in March and April 2009. There were 15 participants who enrolled and completed the course. Pre- and post-tests were given to all participants. Comments by participants included:

- Found out how to protect my identity
- Found out how to access my credit report and how to improve my credit
- Found out how pay-day lenders work and the pitfalls of using that business
- Found out how to maintain a bank account

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to pay for the time of the staff person who attended Money Smart training in November 2008.

b. Please describe one senior-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Blue Valley Community Action

i. Description of initiative

The BVCA Health Services staff partnered with the Pioneer Foster Grandparent program to optimize the health & wellness for low-income, older adults enrolled in FGP through early detection of disease, education & access to services.

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Collaboration between BVCA agency programs & partnerships with multiple area healthcare agencies resulted in the development of programs that are provided for the group. Education related to healthy eating habits, exercise & safety including the teaching of Tia Chi as a technique proven to reduce falls in older adults is offered at the monthly FGP in-service sessions.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

Older adults are self-reporting that they are doing daily exercises with the Tia Chi CD provided to them & they are more aware of purchasing healthier foods.

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG dollars are used to support staff planning time and travel.

5. Impact of ARRA CSBG Funds

a. Please describe how one agency program, funded at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds, created or saved jobs in your community. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Northwest Nebraska Community Action Partnership

i. Number of jobs created and/or saved

i. Number of jobs created and/or saved

ii. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific:

The Right to Flight Coordinator position was created by the use of American Recovery Reinvestment Act dollars, we have also been able to work with two work study students from Chadron State College. A portion of the Community Service Program Directors salary was also paid using American Recovery Reinvestment Act dollars during the planning, development and implementation of the Right to Flight Program. Also several community partners were hired on a contractual basis to provide services to participants within the program.

American Recovery Reinvestment Act funds were used by Northwest Community Action Partnership to develop and implement – the Right to Flight program. Right to Flight is a program in which we are able to give participants life/job skills, educational attainment all in an effort to promote an improved quality of life and to attain self-sufficiency. Participants are given daily stipends for attending classes which is paid using American Recovery Reinvestment Act funds; curriculum was purchased for the positive living skills portion of the project; rent facility expenses, other supplies; insurance; operating costs; and capital expenses were used to purchase a vehicle.

iii. If applicable, how were regularly appropriated CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

iv. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the programs?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL): 12 2. 126% to 200% of FPL 3

v. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds. Include:

1. The role of partners or collaborations:

The role of partners in our community at the beginning phase of Right to Flight was determining the gaps in services and designing a program that would serve the low income population within our community. Partners such as but not limited to; Arbor, High Plains Community Development Corporation, Youth Build, Job Corp, Western Community Health Resources, Department of Health and Human Services, Chadron State College, Panhandle Partnership Health and Human Services, Western Nebraska Community College, Workforce Services, Prairie Pines all came together for the development of the Right to Flight Program.

2.Type of resources contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc)

At this point, there has not been any type of in-kind services to the Right to Flight Program due to the development and implementation stages. Within the next year the Right to Flight Coordinator will foster and develop relationships with community members so that in the future, in-kind services will be provided.

vi. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

There has been a consistent identified need regarding the ability to earn a livable wage in our community, however, in this case there was also emergent need that had been identified by area nursing homes, assisted living facilities, lack of qualified employees who had their Certified Nursing Aide Certificate and Medical Aide Certificate. Employment in the health care system is on the rise; therefore, a need for educated employees was identified as an emergent need in our local community.

b. Please describe one major agency initiative supported at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds (other than the initiative listed in "5.a", above). Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Central Nebraska Community Services

i. Was this a new initiative or the expansion of a previously offered program/service?

Another initiative that was addressed by Central Nebraska Community Services was the needs of the elderly population. Elderly have many barriers they must overcome. Small things such as reading and understanding their mail, loneliness and depression, or having people prey on them for money. Many of them find themselves not being able to meet their basic needs, thus, making them fall into predatory lending practices. With the rising costs of health insurance, utility costs, groceries and other necessities, elderly find themselves "stretching" their dollar to its brink.

ii. Which factor(s) allowed for the creation or expansion of these services? (Check all that apply)

- 1. Increased Funding [] 3. Operational Changes []
2. Expanded Income Eligibility [] 4. Other [x]

Please explain other:

New Initiative:
Increased funding to our agency.
Expanded income eligibility.

iii.Regarding regularly appropriated CSBG funds: 1.How much CSBG was used to support this initiative? \$0

2. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

This program isn't utilizing any regular CSBG funding.

iv. Regarding ARRA CSBG funds: 1.How much ARRA CSBG was used to support this initiative? \$194,490

2. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

Specifically included in this amount are salaries for three (3) elderly case managers to provide case management. Coupled with the case management are other direct services of transportation, food, and medications to help alleviate financial hardship to this population.

v. Did this initiative primarily impact (check all that apply):

- 1. Infants and children (0-11 years)
- 2. Youth (12-18 years)
- 3. Adults (18-54 years)
- 4. Seniors (55+ years)
- 5. Entire Community

Please explain if this affected the entire community:

vi. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the programs?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL): 78 2. 126% to 200% of FPL 22

1. The role of partners or collaborations:

vii. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds. Include

Role of partners or collaboration-Partners within this program are the Area Agency on Aging, Local Senior Centers, Public Housing Authorities, Social Workers within hospitals, nursing homes, and assisted living.

2.Type of resources contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc)

viii. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

This need was identified in community needs assessments and other data sources.

ix. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

As of December 31, 2009, the WISE program has assisted 94 households and 131 beneficiaries. Since the program has only 4 months of data, it is difficult to show additional impact in areas affected at this time.

Part I: Section G Participants Characteristics

1. Name of Agency Reporting: Blue Valley Communi

2a. Total Non CSBG resources Reported in Section F, page 12

2b. Total amount of CSBG allocated

Total Resources for FY 2009 (2a + 2b)

\$8,682,801
\$290,575
\$8,973,376

ARRA ONLY	
\$21,157	
\$28,212	
\$49,369	

3. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom one or more characteristics were obtained
 4. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom no characteristics were obtained
 5. Total unduplicated number of families about whom one or more characteristics were obtained
 6. Total unduplicated number of families about whom no characteristics were obtained

3.	11,470
4.	3,060
5.	4,135
6.	2,059

7. Gender

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

- a. Male
 b. Female

5,138
6,044
11,182

TOTAL*

8. Age

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

- a. 0-5
 b. 6-11
 c. 12-17
 d. 18-23
 e. 24-44
 f. 45-54
 g. 55-69
 h. 70+

2,096
1,129
971
863
2,460
613
772
808
9,712

TOTAL*

9. Ethnicity/Race

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

i. Ethnicity

- a. Hispanic or Latino
 b. Not Hispanic or Latino

1,618
9,534
11,152

TOTAL*

ii. Race

- a. White
 b. Black African Americ
 c. American Indian and Alaska Native
 d. Asian
 e. Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
 f. Other
 g. Multi-race (any 2 or more of the above)

10,712
101
65
50
9
0
224
11,161

TOTAL*

10. Education level of adults

Number of Persons 24 and Older**

- a. 0-8
 b. 9-12/non-graduates
 c. high school grad/GED
 d. 12+ some post secondary
 e. 2 or 4 yr college graduates

378
912
2,173
681
379
4,523

TOTAL**

11. Other Characteristics

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

	Yes	No	Total
a. Health insurance	7,315	2,895	10,210
b. Disabled	1,627	7,994	9,621

12. Family Type

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

- a. Single parent/female
 b. Single parent/male
 c. Two-parent household
 d. Single person
 e. Two adults/no children
 f. Other

660
70
1,102

1,479
370
452

TOTAL*** 4,133

13. Family Size

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

- a. One
 b. Two
 c. Three
 d. Four
 e. Five
 f. Six
 g. Seven
 h. Eight or more

1,479
663
689
536
378
192
110
88
4,135

TOTAL***

14. Source of Family Income

NUMBER OF FAMILIES

- a. Unduplicated # of Families Reporting One or More Sources of Income***
 b. Unduplicated # of Families Reporting No Income***

2,352

223

TOTAL***

2,575

- c. TANF
 d. SSI
 e. Social Security
 f. Pension
 g. General Assistance
 h. Unemployment Insurance
 i. Employment + other sources
 j. Employment only
 k. Other

70
339
1,157
136
0
104
290
606
2,762

15. Level of Family Income (% of HHS Guideline)

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

- a. Up to 50%
 b. 51% to 75%
 c. 76% to 100%
 d. 101% to 125%
 e. 126% to 150%
 f. 151% to 175%
 g. 176% to 200%
 h. 201% and over

836
636
839
665
250
277
0
0

TOTAL***

3,503

16. Housing

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

- a. Own
 b. Rent
 c. Homeless
 d. Other

1,129
2,332
40
321

TOTAL***

3,822

Part I: Section G: Participants Characteristics

1. Number of Agencies Reporting

9

2a. Total Non CSBG resources Reported in Section F, page 12

2b. Total amount of CSBG allocated

Total Resources for FY 2009 (2a + 2b)

\$67,296,607
\$4,311,081
\$71,607,688

ARRA Only

\$649,127
\$1,264,103
\$1,913,230

- 3. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom one or more characteristics were obtained
- 4. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom no characteristics were obtained
- 5. Total unduplicated number of families about whom one or more characteristics were obtained
- 6. Total unduplicated number of families about whom no characteristics were obtained

3.	105,936
4.	12,017
5.	40,612
6.	3,396

7. Gender

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

a. Male	43,508
b. Female	56,865
TOTAL*	100,373

13. Family Size

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. One	9,620
b. Two	5,545
c. Three	3,436
d. Four	3,055
e. Five	1,935
f. Six	1,005
g. Seven	400
h. Eight or more	360
TOTAL***	25,356

8. Age

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

a. 0-5	24,270
b. 6-11	11,449
c. 12-17	8,338
d. 18-23	9,618
e. 24-44	23,719
f. 45-54	6,660
g. 55-69	8,584
h. 70+	8,589
TOTAL*	101,227

14. Source of Family Income

NUMBER OF FAMILIES

a. Unduplicated # of Families Reporting One or More Sources of Income***	24,431
b. Unduplicated # of Families Reporting No Income***	3,261
TOTAL***	27,692
c. TANF	1,736
d. SSI	3,032
e. Social Security	7,662
f. Pension	739
g. General Assistance	596
h. Unemployment Insurance	1,021
i. Employment + other sources	2,916
j. Employment only	9,180
k. Other	5,201

9. Ethnicity/Race

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

I. Ethnicity

a. Hispanic or Latino	22,078
b. Not Hispanic or Latino	78,531
TOTAL*	100,609

II. Race

a. White	74,382
b. Black African Americ	6,602
c. American Indian and Alaska Native	3533
d. Asian	698
e. Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	139
f. Other	4,767
g. Multi-race (any 2 or more of the above)	5,118
TOTAL*	95,239

15. Level of Family Income (% of HHS Guideline)

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Up to 50%	9,170
b. 51% to 75	6,694
c. 76% to 100	6,494
d. 101% to 125	4,954
e. 126% to 150	2,925
f. 151% to 175	2,430
e. 176% to 200	51
h. 201% and over	23
TOTAL***	32,741

10. Education level of adults

Number of Persons 24 and Older**

a. 0-8	2,767
b. 9-12/non-graduates	5,828
c. high school grad/GED	17,654
d. 12+ some post secondary	5,629
e. 2 or 4 yr college graduates	4,364
TOTAL**	36,242

11. Other Characteristics

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

	Yes	No	Total
a. Health insurance	58,008	24,059	82,067
b. Disabled	11,444	60,243	71,687

12. Family Type

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Single parent/female	7,895	d. Single person	11,772	TOTAL***	42,229
b. Single parent/male	1,537	e. Two adults/no children	8,856		
c. Two-parent household	8,856	f. Other	3,313		

16. Housing

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Own	9,839
b. Rent	18,298
c. Homeless	1,794
d. Other	3,590
TOTAL***	33,521

Totals For Nebraska Section G

NASCSP CSBG IS 2009

Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Subsection I. Nebraska

		1.	2.	ARRA ONLY
1. Number of Local Agencies Reporting:		9		
2. Amount of FY 2009 CSBG allocated to reporting agency:			\$4,345,742	\$1,264,103
3. Federal Resources (other than CSBG)				
a.	Weatherization (DOE) (include oil overcharge \$\$)		\$2,410,722	\$406,257
b.	LIHEAP- Fuel Assistance (HHS) (include oil overcharge \$\$)		\$132,520	\$0
c.	LIHEAP- Weatherization (HHS) (include oil overcharge \$\$)		\$2,805,230	\$0
d.	Head Start (HHS)		\$18,152,677	\$158,553
e.	Early Head Start (HHS)		\$4,220,778	\$53,252
f.	Older Americans Act (HHS)		\$182,651	\$18,291
g.	SSBG (HHS)		\$68,631	\$0
h.	Medicare/Medicaid (HHS)		\$1,251,005	\$0
i.	Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)		\$0	\$0
j.	Child Care Development Block Grant (CCDBG)		\$19,001	\$0
k. Other HHS resources				
		i.	\$2,147,501	\$0
		ii.	\$494,354	
		iii.	\$581,541	\$0
		iv.	\$843,190	\$0
TOTAL HHS Other:		3k.	\$4,066,586	\$0
l. WIC (USDA)		l.	\$6,540,442	\$0
m.	All USDA Non-Food Programs (e.g. rural development)	m.	\$50,592	\$0
n.	All Other USDA Food Programs	n.	\$4,969,935	\$0
o.	CDBG - Federal, State, and Loca	o.	\$208,310	\$0
p. Housing Programs (HUD):				
	Section 8	i.	\$207,813	\$0
	Section 202	ii.	\$0	\$0
	Home Tenant Based Assistance	iii.	\$16,128	\$0
	HOPE for homeowners Program (H4H)	iv.	\$0	\$0
	Emergency Shelter Program (ESGP)	v.	\$184,853	\$0
	Continuum of Care (CofC)	vi.	\$429,090	\$0
q.	All other HUD including homeless programs	q.	\$1,445,607	\$0
r.	Employment and training programs (US DOL)	r.	\$111,076	\$0
s.	Other US DOL program	s.	\$1,323	\$0
t.	Corporation for National Services (CNS) Programs	t.	\$414,412	\$0
u.	FEM	u.	\$419,327	\$12,121
v.	Transportation (US DOT)	v.	\$555,171	\$0
w.	Department of Education	w.	\$0	\$0
x.	Department of Justic	x.	\$10,142	\$0
y.	Department of Treasury	y.	\$19,518	\$0
z. Other Federal Sources (list in order of size)				
1			\$828,615	\$0
2			\$33,993	\$0
3			\$15,000	\$0
4	All Other		\$4,442	\$0
TOTAL Federal Other:		3z.	\$882,050	\$0

TOTAL: NON-CSBG FEDERAL RESOURCES	\$49,775,590	\$649,127
--	---------------------	------------------

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Subsection II. State Resources

a. State appropriated funds used for the same purpose as federal CSBG funds	a.	\$0
<i>Note: Verify with State Administrator that this figure matches state submission in Section A, Item 5</i>		
b. State housing and homeless programs (include housing tax credits)	b.	\$1,083,350
c. State nutrition programs	c.	\$0
d. State day care and early childhood programs	d.	\$93,863
e. State energy programs	e.	\$0
f. State health programs	f.	\$1,192,127
g. State youth development programs	g.	\$1,835
h. State employment and training programs	h.	\$3,500
i. State Head Start programs	i.	\$0
j. State senior programs	j.	\$58,651
k. State Transportation Programs	k.	\$232,557
l. State Education Programs	l.	\$609,708
m. State Community, Rural and Economic Development Program	m.	\$78,396
n. State Family Development Programs	n.	\$0

o. Other State Sources (list in order of size):**		Funding Amounts	Total Funding Amount, State Other
i)		\$477,565	o. \$567,974
ii)		\$13,280	
iii)		\$51,851	
iv)		\$25,278	

The Other State Sources summary report pick up the first name in the database and sum all of the funds in each line. This is not a reflection of what was actually funded by name. Retrieve this information in the detail reports.

TOTAL: STATE RESOURCES	\$3,921,961
<i>If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I (Federal Resources), please estimate the amount.</i>	

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated

Subsection III. Local Resources

- | | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| a. Amount of unrestricted funds appropriated by local government | a. | \$212,163 |
| b. Amount of restricted funds appropriated by local government | b. | \$442,927 |
| c. Value of Contract Services. | c. | \$362,088 |
| d. Value of in-kind goods/services received from local government. | d. | \$117,366 |

TOTAL: LOCAL PUBLIC RESOURCE	\$1,134,544
<i>If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I or II, please estimate the amount</i>	

Subsection IV. Private Sector Resources

- | | | |
|---|----|-------------|
| a. Funds from foundations, corporations, United Way, other non-profits | a. | \$1,673,985 |
| b. Other donated funds | b. | \$972,887 |
| c. Value of other donated items, food clothing, furniture, etc | c. | \$4,701,644 |
| d. Value of in-kind services received from businesses | d. | \$2,302,173 |
| e. Fees paid by clients for services | e. | \$2,125,806 |
| Number of agencies responding to this item | | 7 |
| f. Payments by private entities for goods or services for low-income clients or communities | f. | \$1,432,464 |
| Number of agencies responding to this item | | 5 |

TOTAL: PRIVATE SECTOR RESOURCE	\$13,208,959
<i>If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I, II or III, please estimate the amount.</i>	\$14,452

TOTAL: ALL OTHER RESOURCES	Total for ARRA	
(FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, PRIVATE)	\$68,026,602	\$649,127
less amount of double count in Subsection II, III, IV		

Section E: CSBG Expenditures By Service Category

State: Nebraska

Table 1: Total amount of CSBG funds expended in FY 2009 by Service Category

Service Category	Agencies	CSBG Funds	Agencies	CSBG ARRA Funds
Employment	9	\$ 270,126	6	\$ 155,604
Education	9	\$ 319,775	4	\$ 31,215
Income Management	9	\$ 361,089	4	\$ 41,420
Housing	9	\$ 229,264	2	\$ 8,053
Emergency Services	9	\$ 584,891	2	\$ 2,875
Nutrition	9	\$ 340,642	4	\$ 27,163
Linkages	9	\$ 836,871	3	\$ 5,871
Self-Sufficiency	9	\$ 1,027,656	5	\$ 122,306
Health	9	\$ 227,340	4	\$ 3,794
Other	4	\$ 162,283	4	\$ 43,002
TOTAL		\$ 4,359,937		\$ 441,303

Of the CSBG funds reported above, **\$ 904,654** **\$ 52,662**
11.9%
20.7% were for administration.

Table 2: Of the funding listed in Table 1: Funds for Services by Demographic Category

Demographic Category	Agencies	CSBG Funds	Agencies	CSBG ARRA Funds
Youth	9	\$ 166,004	7	\$ 101,536
Seniors	9	\$ 276,664	5	\$ 32,816

Agency Funds by Service Category (CSBG Funds)

Agency	Employment		Education		Income Management		Housing		Emergency Services		Nutrition		Linkages		Self-Sufficiency		Health		Other	
	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$	Count	\$
Totals	9	\$ 270,126	9	\$ 319,775	9	\$ 361,089	9	\$ 229,264	9	\$ 584,891	9	\$ 340,642	9	\$ 836,871	9	\$ 1,027,656	9	\$ 227,340	4	\$ 162,283
Blue Valley Community Action		\$ 22,532		\$ 67,500		\$ 22,688		\$ 11,500		\$ 39,933		\$ 38,652		\$ 36,050		\$ 36,720		\$ 15,000		\$ 9,400
Central Nebraska Community Action		\$ 26,000		\$ 23,000		\$ 96,265		\$ 24,458		\$ 74,765		\$ 16,700		\$ 101,531		\$ 144,300		\$ 9,400		\$ 11,480
Community Action of Western		\$ 14,350		\$ 20,090		\$ 20,090		\$ 5,740		\$ 94,716		\$ 25,830		\$ 8,610		\$ 86,105		\$ 134,005		\$ 134,005
Eastern Nebraska Community Action		\$ 44,668		\$ 134,005		\$ 89,337		\$ 55,835		\$ 111,671		\$ 145,172		\$ 111,671		\$ 156,339		\$ 134,005		\$ 34,000
Goldenrod Hills Community Action		\$ 21,000		\$ 10,000		\$ 21,000		\$ 5,200		\$ 132,000		\$ 47,000		\$ 137,000		\$ 119,912		\$ 34,000		\$ -
Community Action Partnership		\$ 11,596		\$ 10,371		\$ 12,066		\$ 3,032		\$ 31,523		\$ 31,797		\$ 374,419		\$ 86,611		\$ 9,440		\$ -
Lincoln Action Program		\$ 118,624		\$ 18,917		\$ 71,179		\$ 111,764		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ 287,323		\$ -		\$ -
Northwest Community Action		\$ 6,271		\$ 4,096		\$ 8,132		\$ 6,650		\$ 84,308		\$ 8,624		\$ 22,724		\$ 39,340		\$ 8,932		\$ 28,278
Southeast Nebraska Community Action		\$ 5,085		\$ 31,796		\$ 20,332		\$ 5,085		\$ 15,975		\$ 26,867		\$ 44,866		\$ 71,006		\$ 5,083		\$ -

Agency Administration Funds

	CSBG Administration	CSBG ARRA Administration
Totals	\$ 904,654	\$ 52,662
Count	9	7

Agency	CSBG Administration	CSBG ARRA Administration
Blue Valley Community Action Partnership	\$ 47,552	\$ 3,790
Central Nebraska Community Action	\$ 41,313	\$ 4,660
Community Action of Western Nebraska	\$ 22,704	
Eastern Nebraska Community Action Partnership	\$ 362,742	\$ 3,199
Goldenrod Hills Community Action	\$ 52,062	\$ 751
Community Action Partnership of Mid Nebraska	\$ 76,875	\$ 4,108
Lincoln Action Program	\$ 203,689	\$ 36,154
Northwest Community Action Partnership	\$ 25,883	\$ -
Southeast Nebraska Community Action	\$ 71,834	

Agency Funds by Demographic Category

	Youth (CSBG Funds)	Youth (CSBG ARRA)	Seniors (CSBG Funds)	Seniors (CSBG ARRA)
Totals	\$ 166,004	\$ 101,536	\$ 276,664	\$ 32,816
Count	9	7	9	5

Agency	Youth (CSBG Funds)	Youth (CSBG ARRA)	Seniors (CSBG Funds)	Seniors (CSBG ARRA)
Blue Valley Community Action Partner	\$ 19,500	\$ 575	\$ 28,242	\$ -
Central Nebraska Community Action	\$ 15,000	\$ 12,650	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,950
Community Action of Western Nebrasl	\$ 1,000		\$ 3,700	
Eastern Nebraska Community Action P	\$ 87,714	\$ 83,840	\$ 44,841	
Goldenrod Hills Community Action	\$ 5,200		\$ 13,619	
Community Action Partnership of Mid	\$ 35,757	\$ 3,776	\$ 131,316	\$ 13,866
Lincoln Action Program	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Northwest Community Action Partner:	\$ 483	\$ -	\$ 23,576	\$ -
Southeast Nebraska Community Actior	\$ 1,350	\$ 695	\$ 13,370	

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: Nebraska
 Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.1
 Employment

The number and percentage of low-income participants in community action employment initiatives who get a job or become self-employed as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
A. Unemployed and obtained a job	9	2413	673	722	107.3%
B. Employed and maintained a job for at least 90 days					0.0%
C. Employed and obtained an increase in employment income and/or benefits	8	806	773	513	66.4%
D. Achieved "living wage" employment and/or benefits	8	1193	600	412	450.0%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

National Performance Indicator

Employment Supports

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from community action as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Obtained skills/competencies required for employment	8	1123	889
B. Completed ABE/GED and received certificate or diploma	9	165	41
C. Completed post-secondary education program and obtained certificate or diploma	8	92	38
D. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	1	72	49
E. Obtained care for child or other dependant	7	752	683
F. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	8	523	486
G. Obtained health care services for themselves or a family member	8	849	684
H. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	8	522	345
I. Obtained food assistance	8	633	623
J. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance			
K. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance			

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: Nebraska

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.3

Economic Asset Enhancement and Utilization

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of community action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by one or more of the following:

Enhancement	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits or Savings)
Enhancement 1. Number and percent of participants in tax preparation programs who qualified for any type of Federal or State tax credit and the expected aggregated dollar amount of credits	9	3945	3110	3766	121.1%	\$3,617,185.00
Enhancement 2. Number and percentage of participants who obtained court-ordered child support payments and the expected annual aggregated dollar amount of payments	6	139	57	109	191.2%	\$45,759.00
Enhancement 3. Number and percentage of participants enrolled in telephone lifeline and/or energy discounts with the assistance of the agency and the expected aggregated dollar amount of savings	1	56	21	42	200.0%	\$0.00
Utilization 1. Number and percent of participants demonstrating ability to complete and maintain a budget for over 90 days	9	816	671	618	92.1%	
Utilization 2. Number and percent of participants opening an Individual Development Account (IDA) or other savings account	7	242	178	216	121.3%	
Utilization 3. Number and percent of participants who increased their savings through IDA or other savings accounts and the aggregated business with accumulated savings		0	0	0	0.0%	
Utilization 4a. Number and percent of participants capitalizing a small business with accumulated savings	1	6	4	2	50.0%	
Utilization 4b. Number and percent of participants pursuing post-secondary education with accumulated savings	1	6	2	2	100.0%	
Utilization 4c. Number and percent of participants purchasing a home with accumulated savings	2	16	6	4	66.7%	
Utilization 4d. Number and percent of participants purchasing other assets with accumulated savings		0	0	0	0.0%	

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

National Performance Indicator

Community Improvement and Revitalization

Increase in, or safeguarding of, threatened opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of community action projects/ initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)	Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in the community	6	0	36
B. Accessible "living wage" jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in	1	6	22
C. Safe and affordable housing units created in the community	3	7	164
D. Safe and affordable housing units in the community preserved or improved through construction, weatherization or rehabilitation achieved by community	8	27	1985
E. Accessible safe and affordable health care services/facilities for low-income people created or saved from reduction or elimination	5	26	27041
F. Accessible safe and affordable child care or child development placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	7	76	3100
G. Accessible before school and after school program placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	3	5	633
H. Accessible new or expanded transportation resources, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available to low-income people, including public or private transportation	4	11	3807
I. Accessible or increased educational and training placement opportunities, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available for low-income people in the community, including vocational, literacy, and life skill	4	7	641

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator

Community Quality of Life and Assets

The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by community action initiative or advocacy, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services, or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Increases in community assets as a result of a change in law, regulation or policy, which results in improvements in quality of life and assets		0	0
B. Increase in the availability or preservation of community facilities.	4	10	105
C. Increase in the availability or preservation of community services to improve public health and safety.	2	2	461
D. Increase in the availability or preservation of commercial services within low-income neighborhoods.		0	0
E. Increase in or preservation of neighborhood quality-of-life resources.	2	22	86544

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: Name of Local Agency Reporting:

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator

Community Engagement

The number of community members working with Community Action to improve conditions in the community

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Total Contribution by Community (#)
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

A. Number of community members mobilized by Community Action that participate in community revitalization and anti-poverty initiatives

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
----------------------	--------------------------------

B. Number of volunteer hours donated to the agency (This will be ALL volunteer hours)

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
----------------------	--------------------------------

National Performance Indicator

Employment Growth from ARRA Funds

The total number of jobs created or saved, at least in part by ARRA funds, in the community.

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Jobs (#)
---------------------------------	--------------------

A. Jobs created at least in part by ARRA funds

<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
--------------------------------	--------------------------------

B. Jobs saved at least in part by ARRA funds

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
----------------------	--------------------------------

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

Nebraska

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

National Performance Indicator

3.1

Community Enhancement through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of volunteer hours donated to Community Action

Total Number of Volunteer Hours (#)

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)

Total number of volunteer hours donated by LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS to Community Action (This is ONLY the number of volunteer hours from individuals who are low-income)

9

559469

National Performance Indicator

3.2

Community Empowerment Through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of community action initiative to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community as measured by one or more of the following:

A. Number of low-income people participating in formal community organizations, government, boards or councils that provide input to decision-making and policy setting through community action efforts.

B. Number of low-income people acquiring businesses in their community as a result of community action assistance.

C. Number of low-income people purchasing their own homes in their community as a result of community action assistance.

D. Number of low-income people engaged in non-governance community activities or groups created or supported by community action.

Total Number of Low-Income People (#)

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)

265

9

16

3

116

6

2239

9

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

National Performance Indicator

Expanding Opportunities Through Community-Wide Partnerships

The number of organizations, both public and private, community action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Organizational Partnerships (#)
Non-Profit	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Faith Based	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Local Government	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
State Government	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Federal Government	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
For-Profit Business or Corporation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Consortiums/Collaboration	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Housing Consortiums/Collaboration	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
School Districts	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Institutions of post secondary education/training	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Financial/Banking Institutions	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Health Services Institutions	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Statewide associations or collaborations	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Total number of organizations CAAs work with to promote family and community outcomes	<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text" value="5243"/>

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 5: Agencies increase their capacity to achieve results

National Performance Indicator

Agency Development

The number of human capital resources available to Community Action that increase agency capacity to achieve family and community outcomes, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Resources in Agency (#)
Number of Certified Community Action Professionals (C-CAP)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Nationally Certified ROMA Trainers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Family Development Trainers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Child Development Trainers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Staff attending trainings	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of board members attending trainings	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hours of staff in trainings	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hours of board members in trainings	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator

Independent Living

The number of vulnerable individuals receiving services from community action that maintain an independent living situation as a result of those services:

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Vulnerable Individuals Living Independently (#)
---------------------------------	---

A. Senior Citizens (seniors can be reported twice, once under Senior Citizens and again if they are disa

<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text" value="12772"/>
--------------------------------	------------------------------------

B. Total Individuals with Disabilities

<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text" value="5346"/>
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: Nebraska

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.2

Emergency Assistance

The number of low-income individuals or families served by community action that sought emergency assistance and the percentage* of those households for which assistance was provided, including such services as:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number Seeking Assistance (#)	Number Receiving Assistance (#)
A. Emergency Food	9	51162	50166
B. Emergency fuel or utility payments funded by LIHEAP or other public and private funding sources	9	11931	6481
C. Emergency Rent or Mortgage Assistance	8	3556	1934
D. Emergency Car or Home Repair (i.e. structural, appliance, heating system, etc.)			
E. Emergency Temporary Shelter	7	349	337
F. Emergency Medical Care	6	888	878
G. Emergency Protection from Violence	5	160	155
H. Emergency Legal Assistance	5	113	99
I. Emergency Transportation	7	538	535
J. Emergency Disaster Relief	4	25	25
K. Emergency Clothing	5	650	650

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: Nebraska

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.3

Child and Family Development

The number and percentage of all infants, children, youth, parents, and other adults participating in developmental or enrichment programs that achieve program goals, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Infant and Child 1. Infants and children obtain age appropriate immunizations, medical and dental care.	8	11659	11052	11175	101.1%
Infant and Child 2. Infant and child health and physical development are improved as a result of adequate nutrition.	9	17145	16436	16931	103.0%
Infant and Child 3. Children participate in pre-school activities to develop school readiness skills.	8	3484	3095	3453	111.6%
Infant and Child 4. Children who participate in pre-school activities are developmentally ready to enter Kindergarten or 1st Grade.	8	2108	1370	2072	151.2%
Youth 1. Youth improve health and physical development.	7	5315	3547	5296	149.3%
Youth 2. Youth improve social/emotional development.	7	2736	992	2701	272.3%
Youth 3. Youth avoid risk-taking behavior for a defined period of time.	6	372	310	345	111.3%
Youth 4. Youth have reduced involvement with criminal justice system.	4	43	37	39	105.4%
Youth 5. Youth increase academic, athletic or social skills for school success	2	300	222	296	133.3%
Adult 1. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved parenting skills.	8	3901	3305	3785	114.5%
Adult 2. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved family functioning skills.	9	3327	2591	3020	116.6%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator

Family Supports (Seniors, Disabled and Caregivers)

Low-income people who are unable to work, especially seniors, adults with disabilities, and caregivers, for whom barriers to family stability are reduced or eliminated as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
B. Obtained care for child or other dependent	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
C. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
D. Obtained health care services for themselves or family member	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
F. Obtained food assistance	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
G. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
H. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
I. Obtained other non-emergency energy assistance (State/local/private energy programs. DO NOT include LIHEAP or WX)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.4

Family Supports (Seniors, Disabled and Caregivers)

Low-income people who are unable to work, especially seniors, adults with disabilities, and caregivers, for whom barriers to family stability are reduced or eliminated as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Enrolled children in before or after school programs			
B. Obtained care for child or other dependent			
C. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license			
D. Obtained health care services for themselves or family member			
E. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing			
F. Obtained food assistance			
G. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance			
H. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance			
I. Obtained other non-emergency energy assistance (State/local/private energy programs. DO NOT include LIHEAP or WX)			

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments

National Performance Indicator

Service Counts

The number of services provided to low-income individuals and/or families, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Services (#)
A. Food Boxes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
B. Pounds of Food	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
C. Units of Clothing	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
D. Rides Provided	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E. Information and Referral Call	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

In the rows below, please include any additional indicators that were not captured above.

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

ATTACHMENT G
Federal Certifications

CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-- Primary Covered Transactions

Instructions for Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.
2. The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency's determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.
3. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.
4. The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
5. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of the rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
6. The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.
7. The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
8. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under

48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

9. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

10. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-- Primary Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.

(2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-- Lower Tier Covered Transactions

Instructions for Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is

providing the certification set out below.

2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or had become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

4. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, [[Page 33043]] should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include this clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility an Voluntary Exclusion--
Lower Tier Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

(2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS

This certification is required by the regulations implementing the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988: 45 CFR Part 76, Subpart, F. Sections 76.630(c) and (d)(2) and 76.645(a)(1) and (b) provide that a Federal agency may designate a central receipt point for STATE-WIDE AND STATE AGENCY-WIDE certifications, and for notification of criminal drug convictions. For the Department of Health and Human Services, the central point is: Division of Grants Management and Oversight, Office of Management and Acquisition, Department of Health and Human Services, Room 517-D, 200 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20201.

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (Instructions for Certification)

1. By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification set out below.
2. The certification set out below is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, the agency, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.
3. For grantees other than individuals, Alternate I applies.
4. For grantees who are individuals, Alternate II applies.
5. Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need not be identified on the certification. If known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee's drug-free workplace requirements.
6. Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or State highway department while in operation, State employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio studios).
7. If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph five).

8. Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees' attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules:

Controlled substance means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15);

Conviction means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes;

Criminal drug statute means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any controlled substance;

Employee means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including: (i) All direct charge employees; (ii) All indirect charge employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and, (iii) Temporary personnel and consultants who are directly engaged in the performance of work under the grant and who are on the grantee's payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee's payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

The grantee certifies that it will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

- (a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
- (b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about --
 - (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 - (2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 - (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
 - (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);

(d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and

(2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;

(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted -

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).

(B) The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

(a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant;

(b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to every grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

[55 FR 21690, 21702, May 25, 1990]

CERTIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE

Public Law 103227, Part C Environmental Tobacco Smoke, also known as the Pro Children Act of 1994, requires that smoking not be permitted in any portion of any indoor routinely owned or leased or contracted for by an entity and used routinely or regularly for provision of health, day care, education, or library services to children under the age of 18, if the services are funded by Federal programs either directly or through State or local governments, by Federal grant, contract, loan, or loan guarantee. The law does not apply to children's services provided in private residences, facilities funded solely by Medicare or Medicaid funds, and portions of facilities used for inpatient drug or alcohol treatment. Failure to comply with the provisions of the law may result in the imposition of a civil monetary penalty of up to \$1000 per day and/or the imposition of an administrative compliance order on the responsible entity by signing and submitting this application the applicant/grantee certifies that it will comply with the requirements of the Act.

The applicant/grantee further agrees that it will require the language of this certification be included in any subawards which contain provisions for the children's services and that all subgrantees shall certify accordingly.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Signature

Title

Organization
