

## IVE Order Review (October, 2007)

**Purpose of Form:** Provide information quickly to judges when their court orders are not meeting IVE requirements, and provide consultation regarding changes that can be made for purposes of IVE.

**Instructions:** This form is to be completed as soon as an initial removal order and/or a permanency review order is reviewed by the IM-FC and his or her supervisor and believed to be incompatible for IVE purposes. (It is only necessary to send one first Initial Removal order that is non-IVE compatible and one Review order that is non-IVE compatible from a particular judge.) The form, along with a copy of the order in question and any relevant documents, e.g., exhibits or affidavits referred to in the order, are to be sent or faxed to Ruth Grosse (fax 471-9597). The order will be reviewed, and notification given to the IM-FC of agreement or disagreement with the IM-FC's decision (either that Central Office finds the order to be IVE compatible, or that Central Office agrees with the local finding and that consultation was provided to the Court.) Check all boxes that apply.

**Name of IM-FC Worker** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Child** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date of Order** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ **First Order Dealing with Out of Home Placement of Child**

- \_\_\_ Order does not contain Contrary to the Welfare Finding
- \_\_\_ Order does not contain Reasonable Efforts or Active Efforts Finding (circle RE or AE, whichever is appropriate)
- \_\_\_ Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_ This order is a "standard" order from this particular judge (i.e., most initial orders from this judge contain the same or a similar language or issues)
- \_\_\_ This order is an anomaly (i.e., most initial orders from this judge are correct). What makes this order different from most issued by this judge? \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_ Comments \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ **Permanency Review** (doesn't have to be an order with the title of permanency review, but is one the IM-FC would need to use to meet the permanency review requirements)

- \_\_\_ Order does not contain a Reasonable Efforts/Active Efforts finding related to the prior-ordered permanency objective (circle RE or AE, whichever is appropriate)
- \_\_\_ Permanency Review was done, but not within the required 12 month time frame, and (include a copy of relevant exhibits, case plan/court report)
  - \_\_\_ Order does include the required RE finding
  - \_\_\_ Order does not include the required RE finding
  - \_\_\_ Order does include the required Active Efforts finding
  - \_\_\_ Order does not include the required Active Efforts finding
- \_\_\_ Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_ This order is a "standard" order from this particular judge (i.e., most permanency reviews from this judge contain the same or a similar issue)
- \_\_\_ This order is an anomaly (i.e., most initial orders from this judge are correct). What makes this order different from most issued by this judge? \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_ Comments \_\_\_\_\_

Child Welfare Central Office Review: Who and date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ Agree      \_\_\_ Reverse and why: \_\_\_\_\_