

Department of Health & Human Services

DHHS

N E B R A S K A

## CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT



**Annual Data  
Calendar Year 2012**

# Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services

## Division of Children and Families

301 Centennial Mall South

PO Box 95026

Lincoln, NE 68509-5026

E-mail: [childrenandfamilyservices@nebraska.gov](mailto:childrenandfamilyservices@nebraska.gov)

On the Web: [http://dhhs.ne.gov/children\\_family\\_services/Pages/children\\_family\\_services.aspx](http://dhhs.ne.gov/children_family_services/Pages/children_family_services.aspx)

Director, Division of Children and Family Services

Thomas D. Pristow

Deputy Director

Vicki Maca

Policy Section Chief

Nathan Busch

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
DATA SOURCES .....	5
CFS SERVICE AREAS.....	6
<b>SECTION 1: INTAKE REPORTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
CHART 1-1: TOTAL REPORTS BY TYPE AND ACCEPTED OR SCREENED OUT .....	7
TABLE 1-2: CAN REPORTS ASSESSED, SUBSTANTIATED, AND SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS .....	8
CHART 1-3: CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	8
TABLE 1-4: CAN REPORT COUNTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	9
TABLE 1-5: CAN REPORT COUNTS BY SERVICE AREA.....	9
TABLE 1-6: REPORT SOURCE BY CALENDAR YEAR 2012 .....	9
TABLE 1-7: 2012 CAN REPORTS BY COUNTY .....	10
<b>SECTION 2: ABUSE TYPES .....</b>	<b>12</b>
TABLE 2-1: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY ABUSE TYPE AND SERVICE AREA.....	12
TABLE 2-2: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY ALLEGATION TYPES AND SERVICE AREA.....	12
<b>SECTION 3: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS.....</b>	<b>13</b>
TABLE 3-1: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND SERVICE AREA.....	13
TABLE 3-2: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE .....	13
TABLE 3-3: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY RACE AND AGE GROUP .....	14
TABLE 3-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIM RATE BY RACE .....	14
TABLE 3-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIM RATE BY AGE GROUP.....	14
<b>SECTION 4: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS.....</b>	<b>15</b>
TABLE 4-1: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS BY GENDER AND SERVICE AREA.....	15
TABLE 4-2: SUBSTANTIATED PERPEPRATORS RATE BY RACE .....	15
TABLE 4-3: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATOR RATE BY AGE GROUP.....	16
TABLE 4-4: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM.....	16
<b>SECTION 5: CENTRAL SERVICE AREA .....</b>	<b>17</b>
TABLE CSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	18
CHART CSA - 2: CENTRAL SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	18
TABLE CSA-3: CENTRAL SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT.....	19
TABLE CSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR.....	19
TABLE CSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE.....	20
TABLE CSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY .....	20
<b>SECTION 6: EASTERN SERVICE AREA .....</b>	<b>21</b>
TABLE ESA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR .....	22
CHART ESA - 2: EASTERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	22
TABLE ESA-3: EASTERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT.....	23
TABLE ESA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR.....	23
ESA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE .....	24
TABLE ESA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY .....	24

<b>SECTION 7: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA .....</b>	<b>25</b>
TABLE NSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	26
CHART NSA - 2: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	26
TABLE NSA-3: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT .....	27
TABLE NSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR.....	27
TABLE NSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE.....	28
TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY .....	28
<b>SECTION 8: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA .....</b>	<b>29</b>
TABLE SESA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	30
CHART SESA - 2: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR .....	30
TABLE SESA-3: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT .....	31
TABLE SESA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR .....	31
TABLE SESA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE .....	32
TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY .....	32
<b>SECTION 9: WESTERN SERVICE AREA.....</b>	<b>33</b>
TABLE WSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR .....	34
CHART WSA - 2: WESTERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR.....	34
TABLE WSA-3: WESTERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT .....	35
TABLE WSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR.....	35
TABLE WSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE.....	36
TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY .....	36
<b>Glossary of Terms.....</b>	<b>37</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The Child Abuse and Neglect Report (CAN) provides information gathered by the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services – Division of Children and Family Services on reports of child abuse or neglect and their disposition. The Division of Children and Family Services (CFS) is responsible for receiving these reports and works with Law Enforcement when responding to them.

This report is published annually on the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services Website: [http://dhhs.ne.gov/children\\_family\\_services/Pages/jus\\_reports.aspx](http://dhhs.ne.gov/children_family_services/Pages/jus_reports.aspx)

## DATA SOURCES

The data presented in the following tables and charts represent the information that is entered in the DHHS information system. The data is extracted annually.

Data provided in this report represents Calendar Year (CY) data.

The data for Calendar Year 2012 (CY2012) was extracted on May 16, 2013.  
The previous years' data were extracted on the following dates:

- CY 2006: April 30, 2007
- CY 2007: November 13, 2008
- CY 2008: April 13, 2009
- CY 2009: March 7, 2010
- CY 2010: April 3, 2011
- CY 2011: April 15, 2012


Population Data was derived from the U.S. Census Bureau estimates in the year they were published for the corresponding year:

- U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States and States: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date June 2013.
- U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Single Year of Age and Sex for the United States, States, and Puerto Rico Commonwealth: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date June 2013.


## CFS SERVICE AREAS

The Service Area maps in this report reflect changes in the Service Areas resulting from 2012 legislation (LB 821) effective July 1, 2012. The first map shown is the Service Areas prior to the implementation of changes resulting from LB 821. The second map shown is the Service Areas after the implementation of changes resulting from LB 821.

### Prior to July 1, 2012




### After to July 1, 2012



## SECTION 1: INTAKE REPORTS

CHART 1-1: TOTAL REPORTS BY TYPE AND ACCEPTED OR SCREENED OUT



The charts above represent the reports related to child abuse received by the Department of Health and Human Services during Calendar Year 2012. There were a total of 34,038 reports categorized as follows:

- Dependent Child: 928 (2.7%)
- CPS Information/Referral: 2,024 (6.0%)
- Child Abuse/Neglect (CAN): 30,282 (91.3%)


The pie chart on the right further categorizes the Child Abuse/Neglect reports and groups them as either Accepted for Assessment or Screened Out. Screened Out reports did not meet the level of severity or definition of Child Abuse and Neglect that required assessment by a Children and Family Services Specialist.

- Screened Out: 22,023 (64.7%)
  - 19,563 Child Abuse/Neglect Reports
  - 2,024 CPS Information/Referral Reports
  - 436 Dependent Child Reports
- Accepted: 12,015 (35.3%)
  - 11,523 Child Abuse/Neglect Reports
  - 492 Dependent Child Reports

**TABLE 1-2: CAN REPORTS ASSESSED, SUBSTANTIATED, AND SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS**

<b>STATEWIDE STATISTICS, CALENDAR YEARS 2006 – 2012</b>			
<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Reports Assessed or In Process of Assessment</b>	<b>Reports Substantiated</b>	<b>Substantiated Victims</b>
2006	12,629	3,065	4,335
2007	13,319	2,894	4,440
2008	13,460	3,260	4,902
2009	14,039	3,520	5,437
20010	14,161	3,396	5,169
2011	15,175	3,410	5,074
2012	12,015	2,723	4,306

**CHART 1-3: CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**



**TABLE 1-4: CAN REPORT COUNTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Total Reports Received	CAN Reports		Reports Assessed or In Process of Assessment		CAN Reports Substantiated		CAN Reports Unfounded		CAN Reports Unable to Locate	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
2006	28,358	24,173	85.2%	12,629	52.2%	3,065	24.3%	8,738	69.2%	231	1.8%
2007	30,135	24,765	82.2%	13,319	53.8%	2,894	21.7%	8,412	63.2%	238	1.8%
2008	29,269	24,073	82.2%	13,460	55.9%	3,260	24.2%	9,075	67.4%	292	2.2%
2009	30,309	25,106	82.8%	14,039	55.9%	3,520	25.1%	9,522	67.8%	334	2.4%
2010	33,081	28,664	86.6%	14,161	49.4%	3,396	24.0%	9,640	68.1%	381	2.7%
2011	33,521	30,282	90.3%	15,175	50.1%	3,410	22.5%	10,032	66.1%	466	3.1%
2012	34,038	31,086	91.3%	12,015	38.7%	2,723	22.7%	7,695	64.0%	274	2.3%

**TABLE 1-5: CAN REPORT COUNTS BY SERVICE AREA**

Calendar Year	Report Status	Central		Eastern		Northern		Southeast		Western		Grand Total	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
2012	Reports Received	3,598		13,885		4,236		8,738		3,581		34,038	
	Abuse/Neglect Reports	3,486	96.9%	11,474	82.6%	4,142	97.8%	8,488	97.1%	3,496	97.6%	31,086	91.3%
	Reports Assessed	1,607	46.1%	3,853	33.6%	1,610	38.9%	3,313	39.0%	1,632	46.7%	12,015	38.7%
	Reports Substantiated	269	16.7%	968	25.1%	278	17.3%	897	27.1%	311	19.1%	2,723	22.7%
	Reports Unfounded	1,119	69.6%	2,420	62.8%	949	58.9%	2,022	64.5%	1,185	72.6%	7,695	64.0%
	Unable to Locate	34	2.1%	94	2.4%	40	2.5%	86	2.6%	20	1.2%	274	2.3%
	Dependent Child Reports Assessed	71	1.1%	183	4.7%	47	2.9%	133	4.0%	58	3.6%	492	4.1%
	Assessment In Process	48	3.0%	8	0.2%	217	13.5%	4	0.1%	25	1.5%	302	2.5%
	*Law Enforcement In Process	66	4.1%	180	4.7%	79	4.9%	171	5.2%	33	2.0%	529	4.4%

\*Law Enforcement in Process includes reports that have been referred to Law Enforcement and are in process of being investigated by a Law Enforcement Agency or that Law Enforcement has declined to assess. Reports referred to Law Enforcement which the Department received the results of are included in other sections.

**TABLE 1-6: REPORT SOURCE BY CALENDAR YEAR 2012**

Report Source	Total Reports Alleging Abuse		Total Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	
	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%
Relative/Friend	7,801	25.1%	2,637	21.9%	33.8%	342	13.0%
School	5,622	18.1%	2,123	17.7%	37.8%	338	15.9%
Law Enforcement	4,580	14.7%	2,198	18.3%	48.0%	888	40.4%
Medical	4,074	13.1%	1,666	13.9%	40.9%	342	20.5%
Other	2,767	8.9%	902	7.5%	32.6%	161	17.8%
Anonymous	2,636	8.5%	1,105	9.2%	45.1%	313	28.3%
Social Service Agency	2,449	7.9%	853	7.1%	32.4%	175	20.5%
Child Care Agency	885	2.8%	300	2.5%	33.9%	50	16.7%
Legal	272	0.9%	231	1.9%	84.9%	114	49.4%
Grand Total	31,086		12,015			2,723	

**TABLE 1-7: 2012 CAN REPORTS BY COUNTY**

Incident County	Abuse/ Neglect Calls	Reports Accepted for Assessment		Substantiated		Unfounded		Unable to Locate		Dependent Child		In Process of Assessment		*Law Enforcement In Process	
Adams	574	261	45.5%	48	18.4%	170	65.1%	4	1.5%	24	9.2%	4	1.5%	11	4.2%
Antelope	68	31	45.6%	6	19.4%	18	58.1%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	5	16.1%	1	3.2%
Banner	7	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Boone	54	20	37.0%	4	20.0%	14	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%
Box Butte	166	86	51.8%	13	15.1%	64	74.4%	1	1.2%	5	5.8%	1	1.2%	2	2.3%
Boyd	18	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Brown	83	33	39.8%	7	21.2%	25	75.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%
Buffalo	803	353	44.0%	67	19.0%	211	59.8%	0	0.0%	17	4.8%	32	9.1%	26	7.4%
Burt	81	30	37.0%	5	16.7%	25	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Butler	122	49	40.2%	10	20.4%	22	44.9%	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	13	26.5%	2	4.1%
Cass	298	111	37.2%	15	13.5%	89	80.2%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	4.5%
Cedar	47	17	36.2%	1	5.9%	14	82.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%
Chase	28	14	50.0%	0	0.0%	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cherry	80	39	48.8%	5	12.8%	33	84.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cheyenne	150	71	47.3%	12	16.9%	47	66.2%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	4	5.6%	5	7.0%
Clay	121	52	43.0%	10	19.2%	41	78.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%
Colfax	90	37	41.1%	7	18.9%	22	59.5%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	5	13.5%	2	5.4%
Cuming	72	31	43.1%	6	19.4%	25	80.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	164	80	48.8%	16	20.0%	48	60.0%	7	8.8%	5	6.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.8%
Dakota	341	160	46.9%	23	14.4%	122	76.3%	3	1.9%	7	4.4%	3	1.9%	2	1.3%
Dawes	148	73	49.3%	18	24.7%	48	65.8%	1	1.4%	5	6.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%
Dawson	419	199	47.5%	42	21.1%	140	70.4%	4	2.0%	11	5.5%	2	1.0%	0	0.0%
Deuel	37	18	48.6%	2	11.1%	15	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%
Dixon	71	38	53.5%	4	10.5%	33	86.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%
Dodge	503	231	45.9%	44	19.0%	146	63.2%	6	2.6%	12	5.2%	14	6.1%	8	3.5%
Douglas	9,507	3,351	35.2%	805	24.0%	2,111	63.0%	84	2.5%	183	5.5%	8	0.2%	160	4.8%
Dundy	17	6	35.3%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	90	27	30.0%	9	33.3%	18	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	63	23	36.5%	4	17.4%	14	60.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	21.7%
Frontier	34	15	44.1%	3	20.0%	9	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	20.0%
Furnas	52	24	46.2%	5	20.8%	17	70.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%
Gage	329	142	43.2%	31	21.8%	94	66.2%	2	1.4%	10	7.0%	0	0.0%	5	3.5%
Garden	16	7	43.8%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Garfield	15	7	46.7%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gosper	24	11	45.8%	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	4	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greeley	30	10	33.3%	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hall	1,026	468	45.6%	69	14.7%	352	75.2%	15	3.2%	23	4.9%	3	0.6%	6	1.3%
Hamilton	102	40	39.2%	4	10.0%	27	67.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	6	15.0%	2	5.0%
Harlan	30	13	43.3%	1	7.7%	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%

**TABLE 1-7: 2012 CAN REPORTS BY COUNTY**

Incident County	Abuse/ Neglect Calls	Reports Accepted for Assessment		Substantiated		Unfounded		Unable to Locate		Dependent Child		In Process of Assessment		*Law Enforcement In Process	
Hayes	8	1	12.5%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hitchcock	49	28	57.1%	1	3.6%	24	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
Holt	140	59	42.1%	7	11.9%	47	79.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%
Hooker	4	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Howard	60	21	35.0%	2	9.5%	19	90.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	136	59	43.4%	19	32.2%	38	64.4%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
Johnson	62	35	56.5%	9	25.7%	23	65.7%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.7%
Kearney	95	35	36.8%	5	14.3%	24	68.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%
Keith	129	56	43.4%	8	14.3%	43	76.8%	0	0.0%	5	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Keya Paha	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kimball	54	25	46.3%	9	36.0%	15	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%
Knox	131	63	48.1%	9	14.3%	38	60.3%	6	9.5%	1	1.6%	9	14.3%	0	0.0%
Lancaster	6,543	2,341	35.8%	704	30.1%	1,330	56.8%	71	3.0%	101	4.3%	5	0.2%	130	5.6%
Lincoln	829	354	42.7%	58	16.4%	280	79.1%	3	0.8%	11	3.1%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Logan	13	5	38.5%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loup	6	3	50.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Madison	673	268	39.8%	51	19.0%	155	57.8%	12	4.5%	5	1.9%	30	11.2%	15	5.6%
McPherson	8	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merrick	85	39	45.9%	6	15.4%	26	66.7%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	4	10.3%	1	2.6%
Morrill	96	45	46.9%	11	24.4%	30	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.7%	1	2.2%
Nance	45	16	35.6%	2	12.5%	12	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%
Nemaha	132	53	40.2%	9	17.0%	41	77.4%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%
Nuckolls	59	23	39.0%	4	17.4%	18	78.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%
Otoe	224	116	51.8%	18	15.5%	68	58.6%	4	3.4%	22	19.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%
Pawnee	31	9	29.0%	2	22.2%	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%
Perkins	23	9	39.1%	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Phelps	110	42	38.2%	9	21.4%	28	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	3	7.1%
Pierce	102	47	46.1%	1	2.1%	32	68.1%	1	2.1%	3	6.4%	8	17.0%	2	4.3%
Platte	380	156	41.1%	37	23.7%	90	57.7%	5	3.2%	5	3.2%	8	5.1%	11	7.1%
Polk	59	20	33.9%	2	10.0%	8	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%
Red Willow	161	73	45.3%	2	2.7%	60	82.2%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%
Richardson	174	69	39.7%	8	11.6%	60	87.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rock	12	6	50.0%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%
Saline	199	80	40.2%	18	22.5%	58	72.5%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%
Sarpy	1,724	585	33.9%	177	30.3%	370	63.2%	10	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	4.8%
Saunders	251	99	39.4%	20	20.2%	57	57.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	14.1%	8	8.1%
Scotts Bluff	919	440	47.9%	99	22.5%	301	68.4%	8	1.8%	17	3.9%	6	1.4%	9	2.0%
Seward	176	71	40.3%	12	16.9%	44	62.0%	2	2.8%	3	4.2%	7	9.9%	3	4.2%
Sheridan	90	41	45.6%	6	14.6%	34	82.9%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sherman	42	15	35.7%	1	6.7%	12	80.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sioux	8	5	62.5%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Stanton	29	12	41.4%	0	0.0%	8	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%

**TABLE 1-7: 2012 CAN REPORTS BY COUNTY**

Incident County	Abuse/ Neglect Calls	Reports Accepted for Assessment		Substantiated		Unfounded		Unable to Locate		Dependent Child		In Process of Assessment		*Law Enforcement In Process	
Thayer	84	35	41.7%	5	14.3%	29	82.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%
Thomas	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Thurston	129	76	58.9%	8	10.5%	7	9.2%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	51	67.1%	6	7.9%
Valley	56	19	33.9%	3	15.8%	16	84.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	168	67	39.9%	15	22.4%	47	70.1%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%
Wayne	76	27	35.5%	5	18.5%	18	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%
Webster	70	29	41.4%	5	17.2%	23	79.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%
Wheeler	4	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
York	280	122	43.6%	34	27.9%	51	41.8%	1	0.8%	6	4.9%	22	18.0%	8	6.6%
Out-of-state	259	21	8.1%	6	28.6%	10	47.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	4	19.0%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>31,086</b>	<b>12,015</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>2,723</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>7,695</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>4.4%</b>

\*Law Enforcement in Process includes reports that have been referred to Law Enforcement and are in process of being investigated by a Law Enforcement Agency or that Law Enforcement has declined to assess. Reports referred to Law Enforcement which the Department received the results of are included in other sections.

**SECTION 2: ABUSE TYPES**

**TABLE 2-1: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY ABUSE TYPE AND SERVICE AREA**

Calendar Year	Service Area	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims	Percentage of Substantiated Victims
2012	Central	83	312	46	411	9.54%
	Eastern	278	1,274	128	1,526	35.44%
	Northern	96	501	39	570	13.24%
	Southeast	153	1,173	82	1,325	30.78%
	Western	90	371	56	474	11.01%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>700</b>	<b>3,631</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>4,306</b>

**TABLE 2-2: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY ALLEGATION TYPES AND SERVICE AREA**

Calendar Year	Service Area	Physical Abuse	Emotional Abuse	Physical Neglect	Emotional Neglect	Med Neg Hndcp Infant	Sexual Abuse
2012	Central	77	6	307	5		46
	Eastern	254	24	1,252	22		128
	Northern	77	19	486	15		39
	Southeast	145	8	1,168	3	2	82
	Western	87	3	367	4		56
	<b>Total</b>	<b>640</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3,580</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>351</b>

## SECTION 3: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS

**TABLE 3-1: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND SERVICE AREA**

Calendar Year	State Service Area	Gender		Total
		Female	Male	
2012	Central	215	196	411
	Eastern	792	734	1,526
	Northern	291	279	570
	Southeast	673	652	1,325
	Western	252	222	474
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,223</b>	<b>2,083</b>	<b>4,306</b>

**TABLE 3-2: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

AGE IN YEARS	2012				
	GENDER		Total	%	Cumulative
	Female	Male			
<2	406	435	841	19.53%	19.53%
2	157	176	333	7.73%	27.26%
3	149	167	316	7.34%	34.60%
4	143	160	303	7.04%	41.64%
5	160	145	305	7.08%	48.72%
6	131	147	278	6.46%	55.18%
7	118	135	253	5.88%	61.05%
8	128	118	246	5.71%	66.77%
9	105	100	205	4.76%	71.53%
10	112	81	193	4.48%	76.01%
11	105	80	185	4.30%	80.31%
12	95	72	167	3.88%	84.18%
13	98	59	157	3.65%	87.83%
14	93	65	158	3.67%	91.50%
15	84	54	138	3.20%	94.71%
16	68	51	119	2.76%	97.47%
17	59	32	91	2.11%	99.58%
>17	12	6	18	0.42%	100.00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,223</b>	<b>2,083</b>	<b>4,306</b>		

**TABLE 3-3: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY RACE AND AGE GROUP**

Calendar Year	Race	AGE								Total	%
		0 to 4		5 to 9		10 to 14		15 ≤			
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
2012	White	1,085	41.40%	756	28.84%	554	21.14%	226	8.62%	2,621	60.87%
	Black or African American	218	41.60%	177	33.78%	91	17.37%	38	7.25%	524	12.17%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	95	40.25%	83	35.17%	43	18.22%	15	6.36%	236	5.48%
	Asian	17	50.00%	10	29.41%	6	17.65%	1	2.94%	34	0.79%
	Multiple Races*	87	50.58%	47	27.33%	25	14.53%	13	7.56%	172	3.99%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	3	60.00%	1	20.00%	5	0.12%
	Other**	136	33.09%	142	34.55%	92	22.38%	41	9.98%	411	9.54%
	Unidentified	154	50.83%	72	23.76%	46	15.18%	31	10.23%	303	7.04%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,793</b>	<b>41.64%</b>	<b>1,287</b>	<b>29.89%</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>19.97%</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>8.50%</b>	<b>4,306</b>		

**TABLE 3-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIM RATE BY RACE**

Race	Calendar Year 2012		Victim Rate Per 1,000
	Population (Pct.)***	Victims	
American Indian and Alaskan Native	9,080 (1.8%)	236	25.99
Asian	9,701 (2.0%)	34	3.50
Black or African American	28,463 (6.3%)	524	18.41
Multiple Races*	19,875 (0.1%)	172	8.65
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	762 (2.9%)	5	6.56
White	395,524 (86.9%)	2,621	6.61
Other/Unidentified**	N/A	714	N/A
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>463,405</b>	<b>4,306</b>	<b>9.29</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
Hispanic	73,661 (15.9%)	526	7.14
Non-Hispanic	389,744 (84.1%)	3,780	9.70

**TABLE 3-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIM RATE BY AGE GROUP**

Age	Calendar Year 2012		Victim Rate Per 1,000
	Population (Pct.)****	Victims	
0 to 4	132,268 (27.1%)	1,793	13.56
5 to 9	131,582 (26.9%)	1,287	9.78
10 to 14	125,406 (25.7%)	860	6.86
15 to 17	74,149 (20.4%)	366	4.94
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>463,405</b>	<b>4,306</b>	<b>9.29</b>

\*Multiple Races – This is when a person entered in N-FOCUS has identified themselves with more than one race.

\*\*Other – This is when a person entered in N-FOCUS either has not identified themselves with one of the listed races or is uncertain about their race.

\*\*\* Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States and States: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date: June 2013. Persons age 17 and younger.

\*\*\*\* Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Single Year of Age and Sex for the United States, States, and Puerto Rico Commonwealth: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date: June 2013. Persons age 17 and younger.

## SECTION 4: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS

**TABLE 4-1: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS BY GENDER AND SERVICE AREA**

Calendar Year	State Service Area	Gender		Grand Total
		Female	Male	
2012	Central	156	136	292
	Eastern	625	488	1,113
	Northern	200	172	372
	Southeast	472	484	956
	Western	163	190	353
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,616</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>3,086</b>

**TABLE 4-2: SUBSTANTIATED PERPEPRATORS RATE BY RACE**

Race	Calendar Year 2012		Perpetrator Rate Per 1000
	Population (PCT)**	Perpetrators	
White	1,273,255 (91.5%)	1,885	1.48
Black or African American	60,295 (4.3%)	444	7.36
American Indian and Alaska Native	15,201 (1.1%)	135	8.88
Asian	26,766 (1.9%)	22	0.82
Multiple*	15,202 (1.1%)	58	4.75
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1,401 (0.1%)	5	3.57
Other/Unidentified**	N/A	537	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,392,120</b>	<b>3,086</b>	<b>2.22</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
Hispanic	106,309 (7.6%)	300	2.82
Non-Hispanic	1,285,811 (92.4%)	2,786	2.17

\*Multiple Races – This is when a person entered in N-FOCUS has identified themselves with more than one race.

\*\*Other – This is when a person entered in N-FOCUS either has not identified themselves with one of the listed races or is uncertain about their race.

\*\*\* Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States and States: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date: June 2013. Persons age 18 and older.

**TABLE 4-3: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATOR RATE BY AGE GROUP**

Age Group	Calendar Year 2011		Perpetrator Rate Per 1000
	Population (PCT)	Perpetrators	
18 to 19	53,972 (3.9%)	174	3.22
20 to 29	259,250 (18.7%)	1,227	4.73
30 to 39	233,732 (16.8%)	1,078	4.61
40 to 49	229,714 (16.5%)	431	1.88
Over 50	615,452 (44.2%)	176	0.29
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,392,120</b>	<b>3,086</b>	<b>2.22</b>

\* Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Single Year of Age and Sex for the United States, States, and Puerto Rico Commonwealth: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012*, Release date: June 2013. Persons age 18 and older.

**TABLE 4-4: SUBSTANTIATED PERPETRATORS RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

Perpetrator's Relationship to Victim	Calendar Year	
	2012	
	Count	%
Biological Parent	2,255	73.07%
Unmarried Partner of Parent	225	7.29%
Relative	174	5.64%
Unknown	162	5.25%
Stepparent	142	4.60%
Child Day Care Provider	38	1.23%
Sibling	29	0.94%
Adoptive Parent	27	0.87%
Foster Parent	20	0.65%
Non-Relative	10	0.32%
Residential Facility Staff	4	0.13%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,086</b>	<b>100.00%</b>




## CENTRAL SERVICE AREA (CSA)

### TABLE CSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR

Calendar Year	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Assessed	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Substantiated	Substantiated Victims
2006	1,652	229	329
2007	1,615	253	430
2008	1,644	253	356
2009	1,597	243	367
2010	1,741	234	356
2011	1,835	255	375
2012	1,607	269	411

### CHART CSA - 2: CENTRAL SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR



## CENTRAL SERVICE AREA (CSA)

### TABLE CSA-3: CENTRAL SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT

Source of Report	Child Abuse and Neglect Reports		Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated
	Count	%	Count	%			
Relative/Friend	990	28.4%	376	23.4%	34.3%	56	14.9%
School	783	22.5%	338	21.0%	41.6%	42	12.4%
Law Enforcement	231	6.6%	172	10.7%	71.9%	46	26.7%
Medical	459	13.2%	234	14.6%	49.2%	18	7.7%
Other	341	9.8%	142	8.8%	40.5%	23	16.2%
Social Service Agency	277	7.9%	178	11.1%	61.7%	56	31.5%
Anonymous	283	8.1%	115	7.2%	39.6%	20	17.4%
Child Care Agency	112	3.2%	44	2.7%	36.6%	7	15.9%
Legal	10	0.3%	8	0.5%	70.0%	1	12.5%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,486</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,607</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>16.7%</b>

- The percent of Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed are derived from the total counts for each measure.
- Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated is the percentage of the number of reports assessed reported by each Source of Report. The total of these may be more than the total number of reports assessed by source since more than one substantiated allegation

### TABLE CSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR

Calendar Year	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims
2006	84	430	75	329
2007	62	603	79	430
2008	67	401	75	356
2009	66	445	53	367
2010	49	300	49	356
2011	55	308	46	375
2012	83	312	46	411

**CENTRAL SERVICE AREA (CSA)**

**TABLE CSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

Victims Age	2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
<02	35	44	79	19.2%
02	23	15	38	9.2%
03	11	18	29	7.1%
04	21	23	44	10.7%
05	12	13	25	6.1%
06	9	20	29	7.1%
07	12	14	26	6.3%
08	8	8	16	3.9%
09	8	9	17	4.1%
10	6	6	12	2.9%
11	16	4	20	4.9%
12	14	2	16	3.9%
13	9	9	18	4.4%
14	11	4	15	3.6%
15	9	2	11	2.7%
16	5	2	7	1.7%
17	5	2	7	1.7%
>17	1	1	2	0.5%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


**TABLE CSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY**

Victims Race	Calendar Year 2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
White	161	144	305	74.2%
Black or African American	1	0	1	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	12	13	25	6.1%
Asian	1	0	1	0.2%
Multiple*	8	7	15	3.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0%
Other/Unidentified**	32	32	64	15.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic	24	18	42	10.2%
Non-Hispanic	191	178	369	89.8%

## SECTION 6: EASTERN SERVICE AREA (ESA)

Eastern Service Area: no changes as of July 1, 2012 (2 counties):

Douglas  
Sarpy




## EASTERN SERVICE AREA (ESA)

**TABLE ESA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Assessed	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Substantiated	Substantiated Victims
2006	3,939	1,069	1,551
2007	3,783	1,051	1,529
2008	4,368	1,203	1,840
2009	5,071	1,472	2,344
2010	5,060	1,501	2,275
2011	5,311	1,394	2,061
2012	3,853	968	1,526

**CHART ESA - 2: EASTERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**



**EASTERN SERVICE AREA (ESA)**

**TABLE ESA-3: EASTERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT**

Source of Report	Child Abuse and Neglect Reports		Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated
	Count	%	Count	%			
Relative/Friend	3,045	26.5%	904	23.5%	29.7%	123	13.6%
School	1,964	17.1%	705	18.3%	35.9%	122	17.3%
Law Enforcement	1,100	9.6%	572	14.8%	52.0%	264	46.2%
Medical	1,921	16.7%	644	16.7%	33.5%	163	25.3%
Other	1,163	10.1%	286	7.4%	24.6%	67	23.4%
Social Service Agency	948	8.3%	303	7.9%	32.0%	84	27.7%
Anonymous	817	7.1%	227	5.9%	27.8%	51	22.5%
Child Care Agency	379	3.3%	100	2.6%	26.4%	25	25.0%
Legal	137	1.2%	112	2.9%	81.8%	69	61.6%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>11,474</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,853</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>25.1%</b>

- The percent of Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed are derived from the total counts for each measure.
- Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated is the percentage of the number of reports assessed reported by each Source of Report.

**TABLE ESA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims
2006	303	1,891	146	1,551
2007	356	2,490	179	1,529
2008	312	2,291	197	1,840
2009	358	3,026	190	2,344
2010	301	2,367	125	2,275
2011	280	1,915	142	2,061
2012	278	1,274	128	1,526

**EASTERN SERVICE AREA (ESA)**

**ESA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

Victims Age	2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
<02	148	134	282	18.5%
02	59	68	127	8.3%
03	53	57	110	7.2%
04	44	48	92	6.0%
05	55	60	115	7.5%
06	51	61	112	7.3%
07	44	49	93	6.1%
08	43	48	91	6.0%
09	34	37	71	4.7%
10	50	33	83	5.4%
11	35	25	60	3.9%
12	24	22	46	3.0%
13	35	16	51	3.3%
14	35	25	60	3.9%
15	30	24	54	3.5%
16	27	15	42	2.8%
17	21	11	32	2.1%
>17	4	1	5	0.3%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


**TABLE ESA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY**

Victims Race	Calendar Year 2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
White	379	317	696	45.6%
Black or African American	171	196	367	24.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	42	36	78	5.1%
Asian	13	5	18	1.2%
Multiple*	26	41	67	4.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	2	3	5	0.3%
Other/Unidentified**	159	136	295	19.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Ethnicity				
Hispanic	113	100	213	14.0%
Non-Hispanic	679	634	1,313	86.0%

## SECTION 7: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA (NSA)


Northern Service Area prior to July 1, 2012 (24 counties):

Antelope	Burt	Cuming	Holt	Nance	Stanton
Boone	Cedar	Dakota	Keya Paha	Pierce	Thurston
Boyd	Cherry	Dixon	Knox	Platte	Washington
Brown	Colfax	Dodge	Madison	Rock	Wayne



Northern Service Area after July 1, 2012 (25 counties):

Antelope	Cedar	Dixon	Madison	Platte	Stanton
Boone	Colfax	Dodge	Merrick	Polk	Thurston
Butler	Cuming	Hamilton	Nance	Saunders	Washington
Burt	Dakota	Knox	Pierce	Seward	Wayne
					York




**NORTHERN SERVICE AREA (NSA)**

**TABLE NSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR**

<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Assessed</b>	<b>Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Substantiated</b>	<b>Substantiated Victims</b>
2006	1,624	238	353
2007	1,602	300	494
2008	1,742	293	482
2009	1,934	269	428
2010	1,710	296	467
2011	1,808	301	492
2012	1,610	278	570

**CHART NSA - 2: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**



**NORTHERN SERVICE AREA (NSA)**

**TABLE NSA-3: NORTHERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT**

Source of Report	Child Abuse and Neglect Reports		Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated
	Count	%	Count	%			
Relative/Friend	1,132	27.3%	366	22.7%	32.3%	41	11.2%
School	900	21.7%	347	21.6%	38.6%	56	16.1%
Law Enforcement	388	9.4%	214	13.3%	55.2%	55	25.7%
Medical	457	11.0%	197	12.2%	43.1%	36	18.3%
Other	369	8.9%	134	8.3%	36.3%	18	13.4%
Social Service Agency	349	8.4%	164	10.2%	47.0%	49	29.9%
Anonymous	412	9.9%	134	8.3%	32.5%	14	10.4%
Child Care Agency	108	2.6%	38	2.4%	35.2%	2	5.3%
Legal	27	0.7%	16	1.0%	59.3%	7	43.8%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>4,142</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,610</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>17.3%</b>

- The percent of Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed are derived from the total counts for each measure.
- Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated is the percentage of the number of reports assessed reported by each Source of Report.

**TABLE NSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims
2006	73	426	37	353
2007	86	746	47	494
2008	78	578	67	482
2009	97	532	58	428
2010	74	460	49	467
2011	67	415	45	492
2012	96	501	39	570

**NORTHERN SERVICE AREA (NSA)**

**TABLE NSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

Victims Age	2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
<02	53	65	118	20.7%
02	18	24	42	7.4%
03	22	16	38	6.7%
04	20	26	46	8.1%
05	26	19	45	7.9%
06	21	18	39	6.8%
07	11	16	27	4.7%
08	18	16	34	6.0%
09	13	12	25	4.4%
10	14	13	27	4.7%
11	12	9	21	3.7%
12	16	11	27	4.7%
13	11	6	17	3.0%
14	13	7	20	3.5%
15	10	6	16	2.8%
16	8	12	20	3.5%
17	4	2	6	1.1%
>17	1	1	2	0.4%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


**TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY**

Victims Race	Calendar Year 2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
White	189	176	365	64.0%
Black or African American	8	4	12	2.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	25	33	58	10.2%
Asian	1	3	4	0.7%
Multiple*	16	15	31	5.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0%
Other/Unidentified**	52	48	100	17.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic	39	37	76	13.3%
Non-Hispanic	252	242	494	86.7%

## SECTION 8: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA (SESA)


Southeast Service Area prior to July 1, 2012 (17 counties):

Butler	Gage	Lancaster	Pawnee	Saline	Thayer
Cass	Jefferson	Nemaha	Polk	Saunders	York
Fillmore	Johnson	Otoe	Richardson	Seward	



Southeast Service Area after to July 1, 2012 (14 counties):

Cass	Gage	Lancaster	Pawnee	Saline	Thayer
Clay	Jefferson	Nemaha	Polk	Thayer	
Fillmore	Johnson	Otoe	Richardson		




## SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA (SESA)

**TABLE SESA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Assessed	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Substantiated	Substantiated Victims
2006	3,090	1,216	1,665
2007	2,770	974	1,534
2008	3,712	1,224	1,828
2009	4,028	1,198	1,788
2010	3,789	1,075	1,633
2011	4,237	1,133	1,636
2012	3,313	897	1,325

**CHART SESA - 2: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**



## SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA (SESA)

### TABLE SESA-3: SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT

Source of Report	Child Abuse and Neglect Reports		Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated
	Count	%	Count	%			
Relative/Friend	1,667	19.6%	609	18.4%	36.5%	80	13.1%
School	1,231	14.5%	436	13.2%	35.4%	66	15.1%
Law Enforcement	2,496	29.4%	979	29.6%	39.2%	436	44.5%
Medical	799	9.4%	376	11.3%	47.1%	81	21.5%
Other	592	7.0%	220	6.6%	37.2%	37	16.8%
Social Service Agency	576	6.8%	251	7.6%	43.6%	68	27.1%
Anonymous	825	9.7%	274	8.3%	33.2%	82	29.9%
Child Care Agency	223	2.6%	87	2.6%	39.0%	13	14.9%
Legal	79	0.9%	81	2.4%	102.5%	34	42.0%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8,488</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,313</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>27.1%</b>

- The percent of Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed are derived from the total counts for each measure.
- Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated is the percentage of the number of reports assessed reported by each Source of Report.

### TABLE SESA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR

Calendar Year	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims
2006	631	2,861	115	1,665
2007	383	2,740	80	1,534
2008	222	2,619	94	1,828
2009	203	2,432	95	1,788
2010	190	1,747	89	1,633
2011	177	1,507	101	1,636
2012	153	1,173	82	1,325

**SOUTHEAST SERVICE AREA (SESA)**

**TABLE SESA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

Victims Age	2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
<02	126	145	271	20.5%
02	44	50	94	7.1%
03	47	60	107	8.1%
04	47	52	99	7.5%
05	55	34	89	6.7%
06	39	38	77	5.8%
07	35	43	78	5.9%
08	40	36	76	5.7%
09	36	30	66	5.0%
10	27	19	46	3.5%
11	26	30	56	4.2%
12	27	28	55	4.2%
13	38	19	57	4.3%
14	22	21	43	3.2%
15	25	15	40	3.0%
16	17	19	36	2.7%
17	20	10	30	2.3%
>17	2	3	5	0.4%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>1,325</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


**TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY**

Victims Race	Calendar Year 2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
White	442	453	895	67.5%
Black or African American	67	72	139	10.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	24	20	44	3.3%
Asian	8	3	11	0.8%
Multiple*	26	25	51	3.8%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0%
Other/Unidentified**	106	79	185	14.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>1,325</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic	50	47	97	7.3%
Non-Hispanic	623	605	1,228	92.7%

## SECTION 9: WESTERN SERVICE AREA (WSA)

Western Service Area: no changes as of July 1, 2012 (29 counties):

Arthur	Dawes	Furnas	Hitchcock	Logan	Scotts Bluff
Banner	Dawson	Garden	Hooker	McPherson	Sheridan
Box Butte	Deuel	Gosper	Keith	Morrill	Sioux
Chase	Dundy	Grant	Kimball	Perkins	Thomas
Cheyenne	Frontier	Hayes	Lincoln	Red Willow	




## WESTERN SERVICE AREA (WSA)

**TABLE WSA-1: CAN REPORT STATISTICS BY CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Assessed	Total Abuse or Neglect Reports Substantiated	Substantiated Victims
2006	2,324	313	437
2007	1,806	316	453
2008	1,994	287	396
2009	2,072	338	510
2010	1,861	290	438
2011	1,984	327	510
2012	1,632	311	474

**CHART WSA - 2: WESTERN SERVICE AREA CAN REPORTS BY CALENDAR YEAR**



**WESTERN SERVICE AREA (WSA)**

**TABLE WSA-3: WESTERN SERVICE AREA SOURCE OF REPORT**

Source of Report	Child Abuse and Neglect Reports		Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed		Percent of Reports Assessed	Assessed Reports Substantiated	Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated
	Count	%	Count	%			
Relative/Friend	967	27.7%	382	23.4%	39.5%	42	11.0%
School	744	21.3%	297	18.2%	39.9%	52	17.5%
Law Enforcement	365	10.4%	261	16.0%	71.5%	87	33.3%
Medical	438	12.5%	215	13.2%	49.1%	44	20.5%
Other	302	8.6%	120	7.4%	39.7%	16	13.3%
Social Service Agency	299	8.6%	209	12.8%	69.9%	56	26.8%
Anonymous	299	8.6%	103	6.3%	34.4%	8	7.8%
Child Care Agency	63	1.8%	31	1.9%	49.2%	3	9.7%
Legal	19	0.5%	14	0.9%	73.7%	3	21.4%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,496</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,632</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>19.1%</b>

- The percent of Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Assessed are derived from the total counts for each measure.
- Percent of Assessed Reports Substantiated is the percentage of the number of reports assessed reported by each Source of Report.

**TABLE WSA-4: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY TYPE AND CALENDAR YEAR**

Calendar Year	Abuse	Neglect	Sexual Abuse	Substantiated Victims
2006	83	554	66	437
2007	114	561	64	453
2008	62	445	65	396
2009	83	619	64	510
2010	53	403	54	438
2011	81	441	52	510
2012	90	371	56	474

**WESTERN SERVICE AREA (WSA)**

**TABLE WSA-5: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER AND AGE**

Victims Age	2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
<02	44	47	91	19.2%
02	13	19	32	6.8%
03	16	16	32	6.8%
04	11	11	22	4.6%
05	12	19	31	6.5%
06	11	10	21	4.4%
07	16	13	29	6.1%
08	19	10	29	6.1%
09	14	12	26	5.5%
10	15	10	25	5.3%
11	16	12	28	5.9%
12	14	9	23	4.9%
13	5	9	14	3.0%
14	12	8	20	4.2%
15	10	7	17	3.6%
16	11	3	14	3.0%
17	9	7	16	3.4%
>17	4	0	4	0.8%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**TABLE NSA-6: SUBSTANTIATED VICTIMS BY GENDER, RACE, AND ETHNICITY**

Victims Race	Calendar Year 2012			
	Gender		Total	PCT
	Female	Male		
White	192	168	360	75.9%
Black or African American	1	4	5	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	17	14	31	6.5%
Asian	0	0	0	0.0%
Multiple*	3	5	8	1.7%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0%
Other/Unidentified**	39	31	70	14.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic	45	53	98	20.7%
Non-Hispanic	207	169	376	79.3%

## Glossary of Terms

**Child Maltreatment:** Maltreatment occurs when a child from birth through age 17 is physically, emotionally, or sexually harmed.

### Abuse:

- ❖ **Physical:** Information indicates the existence of an injury that is unexplained; not consistent with the explanation given; or is non-accidental.
- ❖ **Emotional:** Information indicates psychopathological or disturbed behavior in a child which is documented by a psychiatrist, psychologist or licensed mental health practitioner to be the result of continual scapegoating; rejection or exposure to violence by the child's parent/caretaker.
- ❖ **Sexual:** Information indicates any sexually oriented act, practice, contact, or interaction in which the child is or has been used for the sexual stimulation of a parent, the child, or other person.

### Neglect:

- ❖ **Emotional neglect:** Information which indicates that the child is suffering or has suffered severe negative effects due to a parent's failure to provide the opportunities for normal experience which produce feelings of being loved, wanted, secure and worthy.
- ❖ **Physical neglect:** The failure of the parent to provide for the basic needs, or provide a safe and sanitary living environment for the child.
- ❖ **Medical Neglect of Handicapped Infant:** The withholding of medically indicated treatment (appropriate nutrition, hydration, and medication) from disabled infants with life-threatening conditions. Exceptions include those situations in which the infant is chronically and irreversibly comatose; the provision of this treatment would merely prolong dying or not be effective in ameliorating or correcting all of the infant's life-threatening conditions, and the provision of the treatment itself under these conditions would be inhumane.

**Dependent Child:** Dependent child means no child maltreatment has been identified, but the parent is or will be unable to care for the child through no fault of the parent. Parent may be incapacitated or absent due to illness, death, incarceration, or otherwise unavoidably unable to provide care. Or, the child has extraordinary mental health, emotional, or physical needs which the parent does not have the ability or capacity to meet.

**Findings:** There are five categories of findings: Court Substantiated, Court Pending; Agency Substantiated, Unable to Locate, and Unfounded.

- ❖ **Court Substantiated:** A District Court, County Court, or Separate Juvenile Court has entered a judgment of guilty on a criminal complaint, indictment, or information, or an adjudication of jurisdiction on a juvenile petition under Section 43-247 (3)(a), and the judgment or adjudication relates or pertains to the same matter as the report of abuse or neglect.
- ❖ **Court Pending:** A criminal complaint, indictment, or information or a juvenile petition, under Section 43-247(3)(a), that has been filed in District Court, County Court, or Separate Juvenile Court, and that the allegations of the complaint, indictment, information, or juvenile petition relate or pertain to the same subject matter as the reports of abuse or neglect.
- ❖ **Agency Substantiated:** The evidence indicates it is more likely than not (preponderance of the evidence standard) that the child abuse or neglect occurred, and a court adjudication did not occur.

- ❖ **Unable to Locate:** Subjects of the maltreatment report have not been located after a good-faith effort by the Department to locate.
- ❖ **Unfounded:** All reports not classified as Court Substantiated, Petition to be Filed, Agency Substantiated or Unable to Locate will be classified as “Unfounded”.

**Information and Referral:** A Department process to document calls received by the Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline that do not meet the definition of child abuse or neglect. Department staff will provide information and referrals to the caller to assist them with obtaining services or additional information.

**In Process of Assessing:** The status of a Child Abuse and Neglect Report that is currently being assessed. These reports were not completed at the time the data was selected for this report.

**Intake:** The process of documenting all reports of child abuse and neglect. Intake includes the activities associated with receipt of a referral, the decision to accept or not accept the report for further assessment, and the referral of individuals or families to services.

**Perpetrator:** The person who was found to have committed an offense, as in abuse or neglect of a child.

**Referred to Law Enforcement:** Reports of child abuse and neglect that are sent directly to law enforcement for investigation because the report does not include abuse or neglect allegations of a family member or someone acting in a familial role. These reports are investigated by law enforcement. The Department will assist if requested.

**Screened Out:** This term is used to describe reports of child abuse and neglect that are not accepted for further assessment by the Department. These reports do not meet the criteria established by the Department that would cause the Department to intervene.

**Substantiated:** The term substantiated means that a determination was made that the incident of maltreatment did occur. Reports that are considered as substantiated would have the following types of findings identified: “Court Substantiated”; “Petition to be Filed”; or “Agency Substantiated”.