Data and Trends on Tobacco Use in Nebraska 2018

UNDERSTANDING THE BURDEN OF TOBACCO

TOBACCO FREE NEBRASKA
for a great state of health

NEBRASKA Good Life. Great Mission.
DEPT. OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
2018 - DATA AND TRENDS ON TOBACCO USE IN NEBRASKA

Prepared by the
Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Public Health
TOBACCO FREE NEBRASKA PROGRAM

Contact Information:
Tobacco Free Nebraska
Nebraska Division of Public Health
P.O. Box 95026
301 Centennial Mall South
Lincoln, NE 68509

(402) 471-2101
www.dhhs.ne.gov

Acknowledgments

Prepared by Kevin Horne (TFN) with assistance from other TFN staff, Amanda Mortensen, Ashley Wolfe, and Jeff Soukup with layout and graphic design expertise by Cynthia Schneider and Emily Bunch.

Additional contributors include Kimberly Meiergerd, Nikki Gohring and Lindsey Witt-Swanson (UNL-BOSR), Marcia Rasmussen (Nebraska Regional Poison Center), Jessica Seberger (PRAMS), Jeff Armitage (BRFSS, NE DHHS) and Derry Stover (Occupational Safety and Health Surveillance Program), and others.

In addition, many thanks to the citizens of Nebraska who provided responses to questionnaires about their health and health behaviors.

Suggested Citation: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services. Data and Trends on Tobacco Use in Nebraska. Lincoln, NE. Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, Community and Environmental Health, Health Promotion, Tobacco Free Nebraska, 2018.
# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements

Table of Contents ................................................................................................................ 1

Statistical Summary ........................................................................................................... 6

Nebraska Tobacco Facts ..................................................................................................... 7

Introduction .......................................................................................................................... 8

Global and Local Problem ................................................................................................. 9

Tobacco Control Vaccine ................................................................................................. 11

**Secondhand Smoke**

Opinion that Smoking Should be Prohibited in Public Locations ....................................... 12

Where are Nebraska’s Youth Exposed to Secondhand Smoke ........................................... 13

Youth Exposure to Secondhand Smoke in Homes and Cars ................................................ 14

Adult Non-Smoker Exposure to Secondhand Smoke ............................................................ 15

Smoke-Free Home Rule Trend ........................................................................................... 16

Smoke-Free Rule in Family Car .......................................................................................... 17

**Adult Tobacco Use in Nebraska**

Adult Tobacco Product Use Characteristics ...................................................................... 18

Impact of Declining Smoking Rates Factoring in Population Changes ............................... 19

**Cigarettes**

Lifetime Cigarette Use by Adults ...................................................................................... 20

Adult Cigarette Smoking Rate ............................................................................................ 21

Three-Category Cigarette Smoking Status ........................................................................ 22

Adult Cigarette Smoking Compared to U.S. Median ............................................................ 23
Map — Adult Cigarette Smoking Prevalence by Health Department................................. 24
Adult Smoking Rate by Census Tract — Lincoln............................................................. 25
Adult Smoking Rate by Census Tract — Omaha ........................................................... 26
Adult Cigarette Rate by State ....................................................................................... 27

Adult Smokeless Tobacco
Adult Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence in Nebraska..................................................... 28
Adult Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence Compared to U.S. Median................................. 29
Adult Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence by Category Compared to U.S. Median.............. 30
Adult Smokeless Prevalence by Frequency of Use and Year......................................... 31
Map — Adult Smokeless Tobacco User by Health Department.................................. 32
Smokeless Tobacco Use by State .................................................................................. 33

Adult Use of Electronic Cigarettes
Ever Use and Current Use of E-Cigarettes ................................................................. 34
Adult E-Cigarette Prevalence Compared to U.S. Median............................................. 35
Map — Adult E-Cigarette Prevalence by Health Department..................................... 36

Special Populations and Disparities in Tobacco Use in Nebraska
Cigarette Use During Pregnancy.................................................................................. 37
Trend of Cigarette Smoking Before Pregnancy........................................................... 38
Trend of Cigarette Smoking During Pregnancy........................................................... 39
Trend of Cigarette Smoking After Pregnancy............................................................... 40
Cigarette Smoking During Pregnancy by Race and Ethnicity...................................... 41
Cigarette Smoking Among Behavioral Health Consumers ........................................... 42
Cigarette Smoking Adult Behavioral Health................................................................. 43
Cigarette Smoking by Employment Status.................................................................... 44
Cigarette Smoking by Gender .......................................................... 45
Proportion of Each Gender Among Cigarette Smokers .......................... 46
Cigarette Smoking by Age Category .................................................. 47
Tobacco Product Use by Marital Status .............................................. 48
Tobacco Product Use by Veteran Status ............................................ 49
Cigarette Smoking by Race and Ethnicity .......................................... 50
Cigarette Smoking by Education ...................................................... 51
Cigarette Smoking Rate by Housing Type and Ownership Status ......... 52
Tobacco Product Use by Home Ownership Status ............................... 53
Cigarette Smoking by Occupation .................................................... 54

**Cessation**

Adult Quit Attempts by Year ........................................................... 55
Advised to Quit Smoking by Health Care Providers ......................... 56
Average Number of Calls to Nebraska Quitline ................................. 57
Calls to Nebraska Quitline by Month and Year ................................ 58

**Youth Tobacco Use in Nebraska**

Youth Ever Tried Tobacco Products ................................................. 59
Youth Current Use of Tobacco Products by Type ............................... 60

**Youth Cigarette Use**

Youth Ever Tried and Current Cigarette Use ..................................... 61
Prevalence of Nebraska High School Youth Who Have Smoked in Last 30 Days ........................................ 62
Youth Current Cigarette Smoking Rate by State ................................ 63
Youth Current Smokeless Tobacco Use in Nebraska ........................... 64
Youth Current Smokeless Tobacco Use Compared to U.S .................... 65
Youth Current Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence by State .......................................................... 66

**Youth Cigar Use**

Prevalence of Nebraska High School Youth Who Have Smoked Cigars in Last 30 Days .... 67

**Youth E-Cigarette Use**

Youth E-Cigarette Use Compared to U.S. Median................................................................. 68
Youth Lifetime E-Cigarette Use Compared to U.S. Median ................................................... 69
Youth E-Cigarette Use by State............................................................................................ 70

**Tobacco Retail Environment in Nebraska**

Opinion: Should Retail Stores be Penalized for Selling Tobacco to Minors ......................... 71
Opinion: Importance of Preventing Retail Stores from Selling Tobacco to Minors ................. 72
Retailer Compliance Check Results (Synar)........................................................................ 73

**Tobacco Product Revenue and Prevention Funding**

Nebraska Tobacco Excise Taxes ......................................................................................... 74
Statutory Cigarette Tax History in Nebraska.......................................................................... 74
Nebraska and U.S. Median Pack Price Over Time ................................................................. 75
Per-Capita Cigarette Sales in Nebraska and U.S. ................................................................. 76
State Excise Tax Comparison.............................................................................................. 77
Master Settlement Agreement Payments to Nebraska.......................................................... 78
Sources of Tobacco-Related Revenue in Nebraska .............................................................. 79
CDC Recommended Annual Tobacco Control Funding in Nebraska ...................................... 80
Funding for the Tobacco Free Nebraska Program ................................................................ 81

**Smoking-Related Fires**

Smoking-Related Fires, Deaths, Injuries and Economic Losses in Nebraska ....................... 82
Tobacco-Related Poisonings

Tobacco-Related Calls to Nebraska Regional Poison Center.............................................. 83

Appendices

Summary of Data Sources................................................................................................... 84
Statistical Summary

Adult Tobacco Use

- Adult cigarette smoking rate\(^1\) ........................................... 15.4% (BRFSS 2017)
- Adult smokeless tobacco use rate ......................................... 5.3% (BRFSS 2017)
- Adult e-cigarette use rate\(^2\) .................................................. 3.8% (BRFSS 2017)
- Adult quit attempt rate among current smokers ...................... 55.6% (BRFSS 2017)

Youth Tobacco Use

- Ever tried smoking cigarettes, even one or two puffs.. .......... 24.0% (YRBS 2017)
- Ever used e-cigarette ................................................................. 36.1% (YRBS 2017)
- Youth cigarette smoking rate\(^3\) .............................................. 7.4% (YRBS 2017)
- Youth smokeless tobacco use rate ............................................ 5.3% (YRBS 2017)
- Youth e-cigarette use rate ......................................................... 9.4% (YRBS 2017)

Exposure to Secondhand Smoke

- Non-smokers’ exposure to secondhand smoke at home .......... 2.7% (ATS 2017)
- Homes with a smoke-free rule .................................................. 92.0% (BRFSS 2017)
- Non-smokers’ exposure to secondhand smoke in family car ...... 8.6% (ATS 2017)
- Family vehicles with a smoke-free rule ................................... 87.1% (BRFSS 2017)

Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Tobacco in Nebraska

- Annual smoking-related deaths in Nebraska\(^4\) ..................... 2,500 (CDC 2014)
- Annual smoking-related healthcare cost\(^5\) .......................... $795 million (CDC 2014)
- Annual smoking-related healthcare cost per household .......... $737 (CDC 2014)
- Annual smoking-related years of productive life lost ............. 10 years (CDC 2014)

Sources: Adult Tobacco Survey (ATS); Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS); Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBS)

---

\(^1\) Adult smoking rate includes individuals who have smoked 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and who currently smoke every day or some days.

\(^2\) E-cigarettes refer to all varieties of electronic products.

\(^3\) Youth smoking rate includes individuals who have smoked cigarettes in the last 30 days.


Nebraska Tobacco Facts

Every year, Nebraska spends at least $737 per household for smoking related medical expenses and lost productivity.

- Adult smoking rate: 15.4% in 2017.
- Youth (grades 9-12) smoking rate: 7.4% in 2017 — down from 34% in 1993.
- Youth who use smokeless tobacco (chew): 5.3% in 2017 — down from 10.1% in 2003.
- Youth who use cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars: 6.7% in 2017 — down from 18.2% in 2003.
- Over 2,500 Nebraskans die each year from smoking-attributable causes.
- Number of kids now under 18 who will likely die early from smoking (if current trends continue): 38,000
- Nebraska kids (under 18) who will become new daily smokers each year: 700
- Annual smoking-related medical expenses: $795 million
- Annual cost of lost productivity due to smoking: $605 million
- 93% of Nebraskans agree that inhaling secondhand smoke is harmful to children and adults.
- The smoking rate among pregnant women in Nebraska is 9%.

Sources: Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), Nebraska Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), Nebraska Vital Statistics, Nebraska Adult Tobacco Survey, Nebraska Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. Updated: October 2018.
Introduction

During the development and subsequent revisions of the national tobacco control program, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed Key Outcome Indicators for use by state tobacco control programs. These indicators were summarized in the May 2005 publication, *Key Outcome Indicators for Evaluating Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs*¹ and subsequent updates and revisions.

The State of Nebraska used the framework detailed in this document, in addition to future revisions, to develop and implement the tobacco control program for Nebraska, known as Tobacco Free Nebraska. There are four major themes identified by the CDC and focused on by Tobacco Free Nebraska. In addition to background information, this report presents information focused on these areas.

- **Goal 1:** Preventing Initiation of Tobacco Use Among Young People
- **Goal 2:** Promoting Quitting Among Adults and Young People
- **Goal 3:** Eliminating Nonsmokers’ Exposure to Secondhand Smoke
- **Goal 4:** Eliminating Tobacco-related Disparities
Tobacco Use is an International, National, State, County, Local, and Neighborhood Problem

Despite successful initiatives to reduce the number of individuals using tobacco products, tobacco use remains the leading cause of preventable death in the United States and worldwide. Progress has been made, as evidenced by the recently reported decline in cancer deaths in the U.S., primarily due to a reduction in smoking.

The efforts of Tobacco Free Nebraska, participating sub-grantees, and others are an important piece of the global public health fight against tobacco products. More than 7 million deaths worldwide will be attributable to direct tobacco use in 2019 and an additional 890,000 deaths a result of exposure to secondhand smoke.


2. www.nbcnews.com/health/health-news/cancer-deaths-fall-thanks-mostly-drop-smoking-n834686

Of the many risk factors leading to human mortality, smoking ranks as one of the largest contributors. In Nebraska, an estimated 2,500 Nebraskans will die prematurely as a result of tobacco use in 2019. In addition to the significant mortality, at least 75,000 Nebraskans are suffering from at least one serious smoking-attributable illness such as coronary heart disease, stroke, lung cancer, emphysema and chronic bronchitis.

This report summarizes data on the use, attitudes, policies and consequences of tobacco use in Nebraska. When available, trend data is provided to illustrate changes over time.

The Department of Health and Human Services Division of Public Health provides resources to the public and regional tobacco control coalitions via Tobacco Free Nebraska (TFN) to help reduce the burden of tobacco use in the state. Evidence-based tobacco control initiatives, such as providing the Nebraska Tobacco Quitline (1-800-QUIT-NOW) and other services are provided by Tobacco Free Nebraska.

This report presents the highlights of tobacco control initiatives and tobacco use in Nebraska; however, additional detail and information about tobacco use, prevention, and control in Nebraska are available. Please contact:

**Tobacco Free Nebraska**

at (402) 471-2101

or dhhs.tfn@nebraska.gov

with requests for additional information.

---

4 The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Extinguishing the Tobacco Epidemic in Nebraska, [www.cdc.gov/tobacco/about/oahp/program-funding/pdfs/nebraska-508.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/about/oahp/program-funding/pdfs/nebraska-508.pdf), 2017.

Tobacco Control Vaccine

Since 1964, when the first Surgeon General Report on the health consequences of smoking was released, state and local governments, the federal government, and other advocacy and special interest organizations organized to reduce the prevalence of tobacco use. Since the first report came out, organizations tried different methods and strategies to impact the use of tobacco products.

A vaccine is a combination of ingredients that, when given to a person, causes the immune system to develop immunity or resistance to a certain infection. Over the years, tobacco control has solidified the strategies (ingredients) that will help to reduce the burden of tobacco use. Four key strategies emerged as an evidence-based approach to tobacco control. If a tobacco control program, such as Tobacco Free Nebraska, successfully implements these four strategies, the tobacco use rate is expected to decline.

These four strategies when implemented correctly, in effect create an immunity to the influences of tobacco.

Adapted from: The Tobacco Control Vaccine: a population-based framework for preventing tobacco-related disease and death, Brian A King, Corinne Graffunder, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018.
Strong support for additional smoking limitations are present in several settings, most notably outdoor dining. Two-thirds of all respondents believe that smoking should be prohibited completely in outdoor dining areas. Over half of tobacco users share this opinion as well.

In the figure above one can easily see the difference in opinion between tobacco users (green bar) and non-users (red bar). It is important to remember, however, that the population of non-tobacco users is much larger than the tobacco users.

Includes the percentage of responses answering “not at all” to the following question: “At {setting}, do you think smoking should be allowed in all areas, some areas, or not at all?”

Source: Nebraska ATS
Youth report continued exposure to secondhand smoke in a variety of settings. The highest rate of exposure was reported at indoor or outdoor public places. At school, vehicles, and home approximately 20% of the respondents indicate exposure to secondhand smoke. Finally, despite Nebraska’s comprehensive clean indoor air laws, 12% reported exposure in the workplace.
The exposure to secondhand smoke in homes and cars has decreased substantially in the last two decades. This reduced exposure to secondhand smoke will directly benefit the health of these residents. Despite this reduction, since 2013 the exposure in homes and cars has remained nearly unchanged or increased. Today, approximately 20% of youth are exposed to secondhand smoke.

This rate consists of the proportion of respondents who indicated exposure to secondhand smoke at their homes or car in the previous seven days.

Source: Nebraska YTS
Adults who do not use any type of tobacco are still sometimes exposed to secondhand smoke. A small number are exposed at home (2.7%) while about nine percent (8.6%) reported exposure in a car.

Includes adults who reported no tobacco use but exposure at home or in a car at least one day in the last seven days.
2013 - 2017: Smoke-Free Home Rule in Nebraska Trend

Numeric scale on vertical axis (%) has been expanded to highlight differences.

The number of homes with a smoke-free rule (no smoking anywhere inside the home at any time) continued to gradually increase and has maintained a rate of over 90% since 2015. The current rate of 92% is the highest rate observed since this question was added to the questionnaire in 2013.

Includes the percentage of responses answering “Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside the home” to the following question: “Which statement best describes the rules about smoking inside your home? Do not include decks, garages, or porches.”

- Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home.
- Smoking is allowed in some places or at some times.
- Smoking is allowed anywhere inside your home.

Source: Nebraska BRFSS
Nearly 9 out of 10 respondents indicated they have a rule prohibiting smoking in their family vehicle.

This question was added to the BRFSS questionnaire in 2017, therefore there is no trending data.
2017: Adult Tobacco Product Use Characteristics in Nebraska

Of those who use a tobacco product . . .

Cigarettes Only: 59.1%
Smokeless Only: 21.9%
Cigarettes & E-cigarettes: 6.8%
Cigarettes and Smokeless: 5.7%
E-cigarette Only: 5.2%
Use All Three: 0.8%
Smokeless & E-cig.: 0.5%

Source: Nebraska BRFSS

The Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) questionnaire collects information about the use of different tobacco products. Using this information, analysis of product use patterns is possible. Cigarettes are by far the most commonly used without the use of other tobacco products. Nebraskans who use smokeless tobacco are often not using other tobacco products.

Among those who use at least one tobacco product, 59% report that they only use cigarettes while nearly 22% only use smokeless tobacco.

The introduction of e-cigarettes caused concern about tobacco users using both e-cigarettes and traditional cigarettes. While dual-use of both variations of cigarette is the most common dual-use type, it still remains relatively rare (6.8%). Dual use of smokeless and e-cigarettes is almost zero, as are individuals who report using all three products.

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to each type of tobacco and whether the respondent has used the product in the last 30 days.
Impact of Declining Smoking Rates Factoring in Population Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Population of Nebraska</td>
<td>1,842,283</td>
<td>1,855,725</td>
<td>1,868,559</td>
<td>1,881,145</td>
<td>1,893,765</td>
<td>1,907,116</td>
<td>1,920,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark Smoking Rate (2011)</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual observed smoking rate</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion under 18</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Adult Population</td>
<td>1,379,870</td>
<td>1,392,722</td>
<td>1,402,354</td>
<td>1,411,799</td>
<td>1,421,271</td>
<td>1,431,291</td>
<td>1,443,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cigarette Smokers at Benchmark Rate</td>
<td>275,974</td>
<td>278,544</td>
<td>280,471</td>
<td>282,360</td>
<td>284,254</td>
<td>286,258</td>
<td>288,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Smokers at Actual Rate</td>
<td>275,974</td>
<td>274,366</td>
<td>259,435</td>
<td>244,241</td>
<td>243,037</td>
<td>243,319</td>
<td>222,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Adults Not Smoking Due to Rate Decrease</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,178</td>
<td>21,035</td>
<td>38,119</td>
<td>41,217</td>
<td>42,939</td>
<td>66,419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the 2011 smoking rate as a benchmark, the slowly but steadily declining cigarette smoking rate and steadily increasing state population allows one to understand the significant impact the declining cigarette smoking rate and population change has on public health.

If Nebraska’s cigarette smoking rate had not declined since 2011, an additional 66,000 Nebraskans would be cigarette smokers today!

1 United States Census Bureau
2 Nebraska BRFSS 2011 (methodology was changed in 2011. Dates prior to 2011 are not comparable therefore 2011 is used as the benchmark.)
3 Nebraska BRFSS 2011 - 2017
4 United States Census Bureau
2011 - 2017: % Yes by Date -  
Lifetime Cigarette Use by Adults in Nebraska

Note: Vertical scale axis has been expanded to emphasize the decreasing value.

Similar to the trend observed with youth, fewer adults are reporting use of cigarettes during their lifetime. In 2017, only 40% of adults in Nebraska report the regular use of a cigarette at some point during their lifetime. Regular use is determined by identifying respondents who have smoked at least 100 cigarettes during their life.

Responses of ‘Yes’ to the question: “Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?” are included in the calculation.
After remaining nearly unchanged near 17% since 2014, the Nebraska adult smoking rate decreased in 2017 to 15.4%. While this is the lowest rate since measurement began, there are still approximately 224,000 adults in Nebraska who smoke cigarettes.

Includes responses to the question: “Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

The rate for “every day” and “some days” are added together to calculate the current smoking rate.
The percentage of Nebraska adults smoking every day has declined to 11.1%, the lowest rate since the Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), began collecting information. In addition, respondents who indicated that they do smoke cigarettes, but not every day decreased to 4.3%. The rate of former smokers remains nearly unchanged over this time period. The number of individuals who have never smoked (not shown) has increased over time.

Includes responses to the question: “Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

Former smokers are determined by respondents who indicate they have tried cigarettes, have smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their life, and respond that they now smoke “not at all.”
The median value of the cigarette smoking rate in the U.S. was 21.2% in 2011 and has steadily declined in the past six years to 17.1%. While only a 4 point drop, each 1% change represents approximately 2.5 million people (1% of the U.S. adult population). There are millions of adults who don’t smoke cigarettes that would have just a few years ago. During the past six years the Nebraska rate has remained similar to the U.S. median. However, in 2017, the smoking rate in Nebraska dropped to 15.4% while the U.S. median remain unchanged at 17.1%.

Includes responses to the question: “Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

The rate for “every day” and “some days” are added together to calculate the current smoking rate.
Tobacco use is the leading preventable cause of death and disease in the United States and Nebraska. Approximately 16 million Americans live with a disease caused by tobacco use. Tobacco-related disease will take the lives of 480,000 Americans (and 2,500 Nebraskans) this year. Of the many varieties of tobacco products, cigarettes remain the most commonly used form of tobacco nationally and in Nebraska.\(^1\) Despite declines in recent decades, the adult smoking rate in Nebraska (2017) is 15.4% according to the Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).\(^2\) Based on current population estimates, approximately 224,000 adults in Nebraska regularly smoke cigarettes.

The map above shows the smoking prevalence for each of Nebraska’s Health Districts. Cigarette smoking rates vary regionally from a low of 11.8% in the North Central District Health Department counties to a high of 19.4% in the Public Health Solutions District Health Department counties in Southeast Nebraska.


\(^2\) Nebraska BRFSS, 2017
The 500 Cities project is a collaboration between CDC, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and the CDC Foundation. The purpose of the 500 Cities Project is to provide city- and census tract-level small area estimates for chronic disease risk factors, health outcomes and clinical preventive service use for the largest 500 cities in the United States. These small area estimates will allow cities and local health departments to better understand the burden and geographic distribution of health-related variables in their jurisdictions, and assist them in planning public health interventions.

Smoking by residents of Lincoln shows a distinct pattern in the western part of the city and downtown having the highest rate of cigarette use. Residents in the southeast parts of Lincoln have the lowest smoking rates.

Source: CDC 500 Cities Project 2016 Update
Cigarette smoking in Omaha follows a distinct pattern of increasing prevalence as one moves from west to east with the highest rates both north and south of downtown.
2017: Adult Cigarette Smoking Rate - All States in Rank Order (low rate is best)

Source: BRFSS
The incidence of smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, snus) use has remained essentially stable since 2011.

Includes responses to the question: “Do you currently use chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus every day, some days, or not at all?”

The rate for “every day” and “some days” are added together to calculate the current rate.
This chart figure displays the overall smokeless tobacco use prevalence for Nebraska compared to the United States median value since 2013. The U.S. Median is consistently close to 4% whereas the Nebraska rate is consistently about 1.5 percentage points higher, closer to 5.5%.

Source: Nebraska BRFSS
This chart breaks the overall numbers into categories. The smokeless tobacco rate, both for the United States overall and Nebraska are not showing an increasing or decreasing trend during the past five years.

Displays the percentage of respondents who reported their use of smokeless tobacco, cross-tabulated by frequency of use and measurement year.
The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use has been fairly constant over the last several years with some minor fluctuation in the proportion of the population that uses smokeless products every day or on some days. The total percentage using smokeless tobacco remains close to 5%.

Includes the percentage of respondents who indicate how often they have used smokeless tobacco in the last 30 days.
Smokeless tobacco is associated with many health conditions. Using smokeless tobacco can lead to nicotine addiction; cancers of the mouth, esophagus and pancreas; and is associated with diseases of the mouth.¹ According to the Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 5.3% of adults — or nearly 77,000 people — use smokeless tobacco in Nebraska.

This map shows the prevalence of smokeless tobacco use in each of Nebraska’s Health Districts. In general, smokeless tobacco use is higher in Western districts. Nationally rural areas have a higher rate of smokeless tobacco use than populations in urban cities.


² Nebraska BRFSS, 2017
2017: Adult Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence by State

Source: BRFSS
Electronic cigarettes are relatively new to the tobacco marketplace and thus surveillance of e-cigarette use began in 2016.

As can be seen in the chart (above), both the percentage of adults who report they have ever used an e-cigarette and the percentage currently using has declined. Today, less than 4% of Nebraska adults report current use of e-cigarettes.

Includes the percentage of responses answering ‘yes’ to the following question: “Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic ‘vaping’ product, even just one time, in your entire life.”

Includes the percentage of respondents who answered “every day” or “some days” to the question: “Do you now use e-cigarettes or other electronic ‘vaping’ products every day, some days, or not at all?”
E-cigarettes are a relatively new tobacco product that has gained in popularity in recent years. The category of e-cigarettes includes many devices of different names such as e-cigs, e-hookahs, hookah pens, vapes, vape pens, and mods (customizable, more powerful vaporizers), ENDS (Electronic Nicotine Delivery System), and others. All contain a battery and a heating element that converts a liquid into an aerosol that is inhaled into the lungs, similar to cigarette smoking.

The prevalence of e-cigarette use among adults in Nebraska is low. In 2016 less than 5% of the adult population used e-cigarette products. This prevalence declined in 2017 to 3.8%. The median for adults in the United States remained essentially unchanged moving from 4.7% to 4.6%.

Calculated by analyzing the response to the question about the use of e-cigarettes in the previous month and cross-tabulated by measurement year.
Electronic cigarettes (E-cigarettes) come in many shapes and sizes but typically include a battery, a heating element, and a place to hold a liquid (often referred to as “juice”). E-cigarettes produce an aerosol (sometimes referred to as vapor). Users inhale the aerosol into their lungs and bystanders can also inhale this aerosol when the user exhales. Using an e-cigarette is sometimes called “vaping.”

E-cigarettes have the potential to benefit adult smokers if the e-cigarette is used as a complete substitute for regular cigarettes and other smoked tobacco products. However, e-cigarettes are not safe for use by anyone, particularly youth and young adults and pregnant women. E-cigarettes are fairly new products, so scientists have a lot to learn about how e-cigarettes affect health or whether they are effective for quitting smoking.

E-cigarette use rates are well below conventional cigarette use in Nebraska, with the statewide average approximately 4% of the adult population (3.8%). This is a decrease of approximately one point since 2016. However, there is variation in use with a prevalence of 7.1% in Elkhorn Logan Valley Public Health Department counties and a low of 1.5% in the North Central District Health Department counties.2


2 Nebraska BRFSS, 2017
The use of tobacco, and smoking in particular, increases the risk of many health conditions for both the newborn and the mother. Specifically:

- Smoking makes getting pregnant more difficult.
- Smoking increases the risk of miscarriage.
- Smoking can cause women to deliver their baby earlier than a full-term infant.
- Smoking increases the risk of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).
- Smoking increases the risk of some birth defects.

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Reproductive Health: [https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/tobaccousepregnancy/index.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/tobaccousepregnancy/index.htm)
In 2016 (the most recent data available), the rate of smoking for women who became pregnant was almost 19% (18.7%) in the months prior to pregnancy. Many of these individuals may not have been aware of the pregnancy. This is similar to but higher than the overall smoking rate among all adults (15.4%).

The rate of smoking prior to pregnancy has declined over time. Nebraska has performed very similarly to the average for all participating states. The decline is likely due to the decreasing overall rate of smoking among adults in Nebraska.
Fewer than 10% of women reported they smoked cigarettes during their pregnancy. The last few years saw a slight decrease over earlier rates. The most recent value of 8.5% is the lowest in Nebraska since measurement began. In general, the prevalence of tobacco use in Nebraska closely mirrored and was slightly higher than the average for other participating states.
Many women who smoked prior to pregnancy resumed smoking after delivery. The post-partum smoking rate is 11.3%.

**Note:** The rate for all participating sites is not available for 2016.
The PRAMS questionnaire also collects the respondent’s race and ethnicity. This information can be used to analyze race/based disparities.

When analyzing the PRAMS data by race and ethnicity, several patterns emerge in the data, including:

- Black and Native American respondents smoke at the highest rate.
- Asian/Pacific and Hispanic respondents each have low cigarette use rates.
Individuals in treatment for behavioral health conditions smoke cigarettes at a much higher rate than the general population. While the rate has dropped over time from over 50% of patients to values in the low 40s, there is significant progress to be made among this population.

Includes patients who are or have received mental health services (not substance abuse treatment). Includes the percent of respondents answering “every day” or “some days” to the question asking if the respondent smokes cigarettes.
Behavioral health patients use cigarettes at a much higher rate than the total Nebraska population. As seen in the chart (above), the cigarette-use rate of the mental health population is more than 20 points higher than the average of the state while patients who receive substance abuse services are approximately 40 points higher.

Includes the percentage of respondents who answered “every day” or “some days” to the question: “Do you now use cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

Source: Nebraska Behavioral Health Consumer Survey/Nebraska BRFSS
Most categories fall into the 8-16% range. The disabled (unable to work), short-term unemployed and long-term unemployed have dramatically higher rates of cigarette use when compared to the employed population.

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to cigarette use and cross-tabulating with the self-reported employment status.
Calculating the smoking rate by respondent gender tells a different story than just studying the overall results. For example, we know that the overall smoking rate is 15.4% but this is an aggregate of the male smoking rate of 16.4% and the female smoking rate of 14.5%.

The graph (above) provides several pieces of information, such as:

- Males have consistently had a higher smoking rate than females.
- Both sexes have experienced declining smoking rates.
- The difference between the genders is declining. The lines are getting closer together, thus indicating the difference between the genders is going down.
- In the last year, the largest change was among males (note the steeper decline in the last year).
The pie graph above details the proportion of each gender represented among cigarette smokers. The population is nearly evenly divided between men and women.
When analyzing smokers by age category, several patterns emerge, such as:

- In every age group, the cigarette use rate is lower today than it was in 2011.
- The young adult group (18-24) had a meaningful decrease from scores in the low 20s to scores in the low teens.
- The retired group (over 65) consistently has a smoking prevalence between 8 and 9 percent.
- The group with the highest cigarette smoking rate are the mid-adults (25-34).
Married respondents reported a much lower rate of tobacco product use than unmarried individuals (includes all categories of unmarried respondents). For example, married respondents smoke cigarettes at less than half the rate of unmarried respondents (10.1% vs. 21.8%). The rate of smokeless tobacco use was similar between married and unmarried respondents (4.7% vs. 5.9%). E-cigarette use, however highlights the disparity once again with unmarried individuals reporting a prevalence rate three times that of married individuals (2.0% vs. 6.0%).

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to each type of tobacco used and cross-tabulating with the reported marital status.
2017: Tobacco Product Use by Veteran Status in Nebraska

Many national studies have found higher smoking and tobacco-use rates among veterans when compared to the total population.

Nebraska data reveals a different pattern with cigarette smoking (13.1% vs. 15.7%) and e-cigarette use (2.4% vs. 4.0%) where the non-veteran population uses products at a higher rate than veterans.

Interestingly, the smokeless tobacco use rate among veterans is nearly twice the rate of non-veterans. Smokeless tobacco is most prevalent among males and is more prevalent in younger populations. The veteran population is primarily male and younger, on average, than the total population. These characteristics may at least partially explain the higher rate.

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to each type of tobacco used and cross-tabulating with the self-reported veteran status.
Racial and ethnic differences or disparities have been present since health surveillance began. Healthy People 2020 provides this explanation: “Powerful, complex relationships exist between health and biology, genetics, and individual behavior, and between health and health services, socioeconomic status, the physical environment, discrimination, racism, literacy levels, and legislative policies. These factors, which influence an individual’s or population’s health, are known as determinants of health.”

In Nebraska, the black, non-Hispanic population consistently has a higher prevalence of cigarette smoking when compared to white, non-Hispanic and the population identifying as Hispanic.

The American Indian population has the highest rate of cigarette use at nearly 45%, however, correctly measuring tobacco product use in this population is difficult due to the ceremonial use of tobacco.

In some cases, there are insufficient data to report information. For example, there were insufficient data to report results for the Asian population for the entire time period.

2017: Adult Cigarette Smoking
Prevalence by Education in Nebraska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Attainment</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college/tech</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school/GED</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Nebraska BRFSS

There is a strong negative correlation between educational attainment and likelihood to smoke cigarettes. As the level of education increases, the prevalence of cigarette smoking decreases.

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to cigarette use and cross-tabulating with the self-reported education attainment.
Home ownership has a relationship with smoking and tobacco use. Individuals who own their home have a much lower rate of tobacco use when compared to renters. There is also a correlation between multi-unit housing and cigarette smoking where individuals who live in multi-unit homes that are renters have the highest rate of smoking at nearly 27% (26.8).
Individuals who own their home are much less likely to smoke cigarettes or use e-cigarettes than renters. Interestingly, the rate of smokeless tobacco use is almost identical between owners and renters.

This item is calculated by analyzing the responses to each type of tobacco used and cross-tabulating with the home ownership status.
2012 - 2015: Adult Cigarette Smoking by Occupation in Nebraska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Smoking Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Preparation &amp; Serving</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; Extraction</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Material Moving</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building &amp; Grounds Cleaning &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation, Repair, &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Support</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Care &amp; Services</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Related</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, &amp; Media</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community &amp; Social Services</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming, Forestry, &amp; Fishing</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office &amp; Administrative Support</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business &amp; Financial Operations</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Practitioners &amp; Technical</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer &amp; Mathematical</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective Service</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life, Physical, &amp; Social Services</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Training, &amp; Library</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Nebraska BRFSS

There is significant variation in smoking rates when interpreting the results by occupation. As observed in past studies, employees working in the food industry have the highest rate of smoking, at nearly 40 percent (38.2%). Education and cessation efforts should focus on these employment groups that carry a greater burden of tobacco use.
Most cigarette smokers have a desire to quit, as demonstrated by the nearly 60% who indicate at least one quit attempt in the previous year.

Includes responses of ‘yes’ to the question: “During the past 12 months, have you stopped smoking for one day or longer because you were trying to quit smoking?”
2017: Received Advice from Providers to Quit Smoking

A medical provider can often influence health care decisions of patients. For this reason, respondents are asked a series of questions about whether there was an office visit and whether the provider advised them to stop using tobacco products.
Average number of calls to the Nebraska Tobacco Quitline from 2016 to 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>3214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>3332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avg.</strong></td>
<td>277</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>12174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This graph and table display the average (mean) number of calls to the Nebraska Tobacco Quitline regardless of the reason for the call. Individuals call to get information for family members, ask a question for themselves, have a short coaching session, or for many other reasons. During the last four years, over 11,000 calls were placed to the Quitline (number of unique individuals is lower because some people will call multiple times).
This table above is similar to the previous table, except actual call count is included and each year is displayed.
Despite new products and changing regulation, the proportion of students who have tried any tobacco product has remained consistent at about 40 percent.

The term “any tobacco product” includes multiple tobacco product use. This category includes cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipe, hookah or water pipe, bidis, kretes, and e-cigarettes in the form of flavored or regular tobacco products.
Just over three of every 20 high-school students in Nebraska report using a tobacco product in the last 30 days. When reviewed by type, e-cigarettes are the most prevalent at 9.4%. After being the most prevalent for many years, the use of cigarettes has declined to 7.4%, making it the second most prevalent. Cigars, which are readily available in low-cost packages and a myriad of flavors, are used by nearly seven percent (6.7%) of youth. The least prevalent product used by high school youth was smokeless tobacco, at 5.3%, however historically smokeless tobacco use has varied significantly by geographic region and other factors.

**Note:** The individual product prevalence rates amount to more than the overall of 16.1% because some youth use more than one tobacco product.
Both experimentation (ever tried) with cigarettes and current smoking rates have decreased dramatically since the 1990s. In the early nineties, over 70% of youth tried cigarette smoking and nearly 30% of those converted to become regular smokers. By 2017, the youth cigarette experimentation and use had decreased dramatically. Today, less than a quarter of youth have tried cigarettes and the current smoking rate is just over 7%.

Includes responses of ‘yes’ to the question about ever trying cigarettes, even once and includes youth who answered “every day” or “some days” to the question: “Do you smoke cigarettes currently.”
The high school youth cigarette smoking rate has dramatically declined since the early nineties. At that point in time approximately 1/3 of high school students reported smoking cigarettes in the last 30 days. Currently the percentage of students reporting smoking cigarettes in the last 30 days is in the single digits at 7.4%.

Calculated by summarizing the percentage of students who answered the question about smoking cigarettes in the previous 30 days.
2017: Youth Current Cigarette Smoking Rate by State

Some states did not participate in YRBS and are not displayed.

Source: YRBS 2017
Close to 1 in 19 students (5.3%) reported using smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products) during the past 30 days.

Trend data for smokeless tobacco use during the past 30 days are not available due to changes in how the question was asked.

Includes responses to the question: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products, such as Redman, Levi Garrett, Beechnut, Skoal, Skoal Bandits, Copenhagen, Camel Snus, Marlboro Snus, General Snus, Ariva, Stonewall, or Camel Orbs? (Do not count any electronic vapor products.)
The percentage of Nebraska youth who have used smokeless tobacco in the last 30 days (5.3%) is very similar to the median for the United States (5.5%).

Includes responses to the question: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products, such as Redman, Levi Garrett, Beechnut, Skoal, Skoal Bandits, Copenhagen, Camel Snus, Marlboro Snus, General Snus, Ariva, Stonewall, or Camel Orbs? (Do not count any electronic vapor products).

Note: Trend data for smokeless tobacco for youth is not available due to a change in the wording of the question. The description of smokeless tobacco was expanded to explicitly include snuff, snus, and dissolvable tobacco. These products were not specifically listed before the 2017 administration.
2017: Youth Current Smokeless Tobacco Prevalence by State

Source: YRBS
Before 2005 the prevalence of high school youth using cigars in Nebraska exceeded the average for the United States. The rate for Nebraska was approaching 20% while the Median for the United States was closer to 15%. The use of cigars by youth in Nebraska significantly decreased from 16.8% in 2005 to under 10% (in 2011). Since that time (2008-2010) youth in Nebraska have used cigars at a lower rate than the median for the United States though the most recent data shows this gap narrowing (6.7%) Nebraska and (8.0% for the United States).

Calculated by calculating the percentage of students who answered the question about smoking cigars in the previous 30 days.
Slightly more than 1 in 3 Nebraska youth report having tried electronic cigarettes in their lifetime. This is lower than the median value of 42.2% for all participating states.

This item is calculated by reporting the percentage that marked ‘yes’ to the question “Have you ever used an electronic vapor product?”
The median rate for ever trying e-cigarettes for United States youth was nearly 45% in 2015. This rate decreased by almost three points (2.7) in 2017 to 42.2%. Fewer youth in Nebraska have tried e-cigarettes in 2015 (38.2%) and 2017 (36.1%).

Current use of e-cigarettes decreased from 24.1% in 2015 (U.S. Median) to 13.2%. The current use rate for Nebraska youth decreased from 22.3% to 9.4%.

Because of recent product launch and marketing efforts among e-cigarette producers (for example, JUUL Labs), many experts believe the rate of youth e-cigarette use will increase in 2018-2019.

This measure is calculated by using responses to the questions “Have you ever used an electronic vapor product?” and “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use an electronic vapor product?”
2017: Youth E-Cigarette Use by State

Some states did not conduct the YRBS survey on this schedule. Therefore, not every state is represented.

Source: YRBS
There is strong and consistent support for penalizing retailers that sell tobacco products to minors (under age 18). When analyzing all respondents, 93.5% either agree or strongly agree stores should be penalized for the sale of tobacco products to those under 18. Among those who use tobacco, 93% either agree or strongly agree with penalties (nearly identical). Individuals who do not use tobacco have a slightly stronger preference at 93.7%.

The graph (above) summarizes responses to the question: “Stores should be penalized for the sale of tobacco products to persons under the age of 18.”

Source: Nebraska ATS
There is almost universal agreement among all respondents when asked “How important is it that communities keep stores from selling products to teenagers?”

Calculated by determining the valid percent who answered the question about retail control, “How important is it that communities keep stores from selling products to teenagers” and then splitting the results by tobacco use status.
The Nebraska State Patrol conducts random, unannounced compliance checks of tobacco retailers to determine the state’s compliance rate as required by the Federal Substance Abuse and Treatment Block Grant. The results are used for the annual Synar report.

In 1995, only 57% of tobacco retailers checked complied with the law that restricts the sale of tobacco products to minors. Since then, compliance has substantially increased with compliance rates near or slightly exceeding 90% for the last three years.
Nebraska Tobacco Excise Taxes

Tobacco excise taxes have the dual purpose of revenue generation for state operations and increasing the cost of tobacco products. An increase in retail cost is a best practice for reducing the prevalence of tobacco use and preventing youth from ever starting.

**Federal Excise Taxes:** A federal per-pack excise tax of $1.01 is in place. Unless otherwise noted, this tax is not included in per-pack costs or retail prices in this report.

In Nebraska, the tobacco excise tax has been collected since the State Legislature passed the first cigarette excise tax law in 1947. Currently, the tobacco excise tax in Nebraska is $.64 per pack of 20 cigarettes, $.80 per pack of 25 cigarettes, $.44 per ounce of snuff and 20% of the wholesale purchase price for other tobacco products.

The current state cigarette tax rate went into effect in 2002 and has no provision for inflation adjustment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Product</th>
<th>Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combustible Cigarettes</td>
<td>$.64 per pack (20 cigarettes) $.80 per pack (25 cigarettes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Cigarettes (E-cigarettes)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snuff (finely cut, ground, or powdered not intended to be smoked)</td>
<td>$.44 = per ounce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tobacco Products (cigars, pipe tobacco, loose tobacco, etc.)</td>
<td>20% of wholesale price</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Tax Burden on Tobacco, Orzechowski and Walker, 2017

**Statutory Cigarette Tax History in Nebraska**

The original tax of $.03 per pack went into effect in 1947. The following table details the tax changes over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Years Between Increases (rounded to nearest year)</th>
<th>Tax Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Years Between Increases (rounded to nearest year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$.03 to $.04</td>
<td>9/20/1957</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$.14 to $.18</td>
<td>5/1/1982</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$.04 to $.06</td>
<td>6/1/1963</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$.18 to $.23</td>
<td>3/1/1986</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$.06 to $.08</td>
<td>4/1/1965</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$.23 to $.27</td>
<td>7/1/1987</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$.08 to $.13</td>
<td>4/28/1971</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$.27 to $.34</td>
<td>7/1/1993</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$.13 to $.14</td>
<td>8/30/1981</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$.34 to $.64</td>
<td>10/1/2002</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The original $.03 tax went into effect on 7/1/1947*

Source: The Tax Burden on Tobacco, Orzechowski and Walker, 2017

The last Nebraska state cigarette tax increase was in 2002. Hypothetically, if a tax increase took effect 7/1/2019, nearly 16 years will have passed since the last increase, the largest gap in state history.
From the time Nebraska started taxing cigarettes until the most recent tax increase (2002), Nebraska’s cigarette retail cost mirrored the U.S. median. Beginning in 2002, the averages diverge as other states implemented new tax strategies. In 2017, the average per-pack cost of cigarettes (including generic brands) in Nebraska is $5.53. That is $1.03 less per pack than the U.S. median cost of $6.56.
Until approximately 2000, the Nebraska per-capita sales of cigarettes lagged behind national averages. Since that time per-capita sales in Nebraska have outpaced the average for the United States.
### State Excise Tax Per Pack of Cigarettes

**Current: 9/2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Tax Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>$0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>$0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>$0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>$0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>$0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>$0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>$0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>$0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>$0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>$0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>$1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>$1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>$1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>$1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>$1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>$1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>$1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>$1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>$1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All State Avg</strong></td>
<td>$1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>$1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>$1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>$2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>$2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>$2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>$2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>$2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>$3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>$3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>$3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>$3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>$3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>$4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>$4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>$4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **Red** = No tax increase in ten years or more
- **Blue** = Higher cigarette taxes
- **Green** = Lower cigarette taxes

### Source
Orzechowski and Walker, 2017
The Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) was completed in 1998 between five major tobacco companies and the attorneys general from 46 states. This agreement set forth a number of activities tobacco companies may not conduct (like marketing to children). In addition, tobacco companies pay the participating states an annual payment under the MSA. Annually the tobacco companies make financial payments to the states and Nebraska’s portion is displayed above.
Revenue from the sale of tobacco products has been an important source of revenue for Nebraska. In 2017, taxes and fees related to tobacco products totaled more than $123 million.
CDC - Recommended Annual Tobacco Control Funding Compared to Current 2017 Nebraska Funding

![Bar chart showing CDC recommended funding compared to actual funding.]

$20.8 Million

$3.10 Million

CDC Recommended

Actual

Source: Nebraska Department of Administrative Services - State Budget Division

In 2000, the Nebraska Unicameral passed Legislative Bill (LB) 1436, marking a milestone in Nebraska’s tobacco prevention and cessation efforts. The bill allocated $21 million over three years to the Tobacco Free Nebraska program from the multi-state Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (MSA). This marked the first time the Unicameral allocated state funds for comprehensive tobacco prevention and cessation efforts. In 2004, the Nebraska Legislature passed LB 1089 which allocated $2.5 million a year of MSA payments to the program.

In 2007 and 2009, state funding was $3 million per year and in 2010 the allocation was cut by 5.0% to $2,930,850. In 2011 the funding was again cut by 19% to $2.37 million. In 2015 funding increased to $2.58 million. In 2018, $500,000 was added to the budget. A history of program funding is presented in the chart above.
2012 - 2017: Smoking-Related Fires, Deaths, Injuries, and Economic Losses in Nebraska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Property Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>Fire Fighter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 or 2 Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>657</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>Fire Fighter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.6M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combustible tobacco products cause many health-related deaths and are a common cause of accidental fires. These fires result in the loss of life and property as well as increased burden on public safety systems.

Smoke-free policies in homes and multi-unit housing can reduce the risk of smoking-related fires and prevent deaths, injuries, and subsequent damage. Many fires are of unknown origin and some of these fires could be related to smoking materials. Therefore, the information in the table (above) underestimates the smoking-related fires.

Source: Nebraska State Fire Marshal’s Office/National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS), U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Nicotine is not only very addictive, but poisonous as well. The amount of nicotine in one cigarette butt is enough to poison a child. Nicotine can be found in many tobacco products including cigarettes, cigars, chewing tobacco, pipe tobacco, nicotine gum, nicotine patches, and liquid nicotine used for electronic cigarettes. When an individual is exposed to nicotine, their symptoms are directly related to the dose of nicotine they received. Mild nicotine poisoning causes nausea, vomiting, dizziness, tremors, sweating and high blood pressure. Severe poisoning can be life-threatening and lead to seizures or even death.

From January 2011 to August 30, 2018, a total of 650 emergency calls related to tobacco/nicotine poisoning were received by the Nebraska Regional Poisoning Center, with a significant increase in the number of nicotine liquid poisoning cases in 2014 and 2015. Most of the tobacco-related emergencies (82%) were involving young children less than age 6.

Summary of Data Sources Included in This Report

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS): The BRFSS is a telephone survey that uses CDC-developed questions to monitor health behaviors across the nation. The core BRFSS survey is conducted in every state. Each state can choose to conduct additional, supplemental BRFSS questionnaires that measure specific health behaviors. In 2011, the BRFSS implemented cell phone sampling and a new weighting methodology.

Nebraska Adult Tobacco Survey (ATS): The Nebraska Adult Tobacco Survey is a population based ongoing telephone survey. The Nebraska ATS is a CDC and state-developed random-digit-dialing (RDD) telephone survey. The survey provides tobacco-related information on Nebraska’s adult population not captured through the BRFSS. In 2015, the ATS implemented cell phone sampling and a new weighting methodology.

Nebraska Annual Synar Report: The Nebraska State Patrol conducts random, unannounced compliance checks of businesses to monitor sales of tobacco products to minors. The State Patrol recruits underage persons to attempt to purchase tobacco products in stores throughout the state. Results of the purchase attempts are used to calculate Nebraska’s compliance rate for the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant.

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS): The Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System is a joint project between the Nebraska Department of Health & Human Services, Office of Family Health and the CDC. PRAMS is an ongoing study that provides data from a representative sample of Nebraska women before, during and shortly after pregnancy.

The Tax Burden on Tobacco — Historical Compilation: The Tax Burden on Tobacco was first produced in 1949 and today is produced by economic consulting firm Orzechowski and Walker. This report provides both the historical and current state of taxes on tobacco product.

Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS): The YRBS is used to monitor health behaviors that contribute to the leading causes of death, disability and social problems among youth in the United States. The YRBS includes national, state and local school-based surveys of representative samples of 9-12th grade students. A degree of caution must be used when interpreting Nebraska YRBS data for years when the data was not weighted. Due to low student response rate to the YRBS in these years, the results are representative of only those students who completed the questionnaires and not of all students statewide.

Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS): The YTS is a statewide school-based survey that provides information on Nebraska youth behaviors and attitudes toward tobacco. The survey is part of the CDC’s national youth tobacco surveillance system to help states improve the capacity to design, implement and evaluate their own tobacco prevention and control programs. The survey gathers tobacco-related information not captured through the YRBS.